IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 1 IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA 2 GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION 3 Case No. 00-01706 CA 22 4 5 6 LYNN FRENCH, 7 Plaintiff, 8 vs. PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, ("PHILIP MORRIS U.S.A."), R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, 10 LORILLARD TOBACCO CO., and 11 BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORP., Individually and as Successor 12 to the AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, 13 Defendants. 14 15 VOLUME 7 PROCEEDINGS BEFORE 16 THE HONORABLE FREDRICKA G. SMITH June 6, 2002 17 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 73 West Flagler Street Court Room 6-2 25 Miami, Florida 33130

Page 884 Page 882 Appearances 1 (Jury not present) On Behalf of the Plaintiff: 2 THE COURT: Have a seat everyone. I wasn't GROVER WEINSTEIN & TROP 66 West Flagler Street Concord Building, 7th Floor sure whether the jurors were all here, but apparently 3 Miami, Florida 33131 4 they are. (305) 377-4840 5 Who will be your first witness? BY: MARVIN WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 6 ADAM TROP, ESO. MR. TROP: Mr. Brown, Edward Jason Brown. 6 RHONDA WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 7 THE COURT: Somehow I thought we had already On Behalf of the Defendants Philip Morris Incorporated ("Philip Morris U.S.A.) and taken him because of the proffer, but he hasn't 8 Lorillard Tobacco Co.: 9 appeared before the jury. 9 SHOOK HARDY & BACON 10 (Jury present.) 10 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33131 THE COURT: Everyone have a seat. Good 11 11 (305) 358-5171 BY: KENNETH REILLY, ESQ. GAY TEDDER, ESQ. 12 13 JURORS: Good morning. On Behalf of the Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company: 14 THE COURT: Will the plaintiff call your 14 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, LLP 15 next witness, please? One West Fourth Street 15 MR. TROP: Yes, your Honor. We call Edward Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101 16 16 (336) 721-3549 17 Jason Brown. BY: JONATHAN ENGRAM, ESQ. 17 18 Thereupon, On Behalf of the Defendant Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp., Individually and as Successor to 18 19 EDWARD JASON BROWN, the American Tobacco Company 20 called as a witness by the plaintiff, being first duly 19 ADORNO & YOSS, P.A. sworn, testified as follows: 21 20 2601 South Bayshore Drive, Suite 1600 Miami, Florida 33133 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 (305) 858-5555 21 BY: WILLIAM C. McCUE, ESQ. 23 BY MR. TROP: 22 23 24 Q Good morning, Mr. Brown. 25 Good morning. Page 883 Page 885 INDEX Q Could you state your full name for the record 1 1 and for the jury? 2 PAGE LINE 2 3 A Edward Jason Brown. 3 JASON EDWARD BROWN 4 Q Mr. Brown, tell the jury where you live. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR TROP 5 I live in DELETED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. TEDDER 9 891 6 Mr. Brown, I understand you are a retired 0 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TROP 904 7 7 airline pilot? 8 8 Yes. From Northwest Airlines. Q Could you tell the jury generally your KATE JEWEL 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINSTEIN 910 7 10 particular background and experience with regard to CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGRAM 921 11 airplanes? 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINSTEIN 928 7 A I was an aircraft mechanic in 1971 with the 12 Air Force, servicing, maintaining, maintenance in 13 13 14 MICHAEL A. PERSKY, M.D. large passenger aircraft. After four years in the Air 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINSTEIN 932 18 Force, I went to the Army as a pilot. In the Army I 15 16 was a maintenance officer. I maintained, was in 17 charge of seven multimillion-dollar aircraft, the 17 18 personnel and maintenance. I was also a test pilot. 18 19 19 Then after the Army I was a police officer. 20 I flew a police aerial assault team. And then after 21 the police, I was a Civil Service flight instructor, 22 GS 12. Then after that I was an airline pilot for 23 23 Atlantic Southeast Airlines, a Delta Connection; then 24 with Republic Airlines and we merged with Northwest 25 Airlines. I have about 25 years basic experience.

- Q Mr. Brown, are you a licensed pilot?
- 2 A Yes, I am. Yes, I am, licensed airline 3 transport pilot.
 - Q Is that for private and commercial airlines?
- 5 A Yes. I am licensed to fly all single,
- 6 multi-engine aircraft.7 Q Do you have any

4

8

- Q Do you have any other licenses or ratings with respect to airplanes or airlines?
- 9 A I also hold a flight engineer's rating where 10 I maintain all the systems in flight on a Boeing 727, 11 a turbojet airliner.
- 12 Q You said 727. Could you just basically
 13 describe what kind of -- I think most people probably
- 14 heard it, what kind of airline that is and tell the
- 15 jury about your experience flying 727's.
- A Well, it is roughly 144 passengers. Most of the airlines used it and it is a pretty good airliner.
- 18 I was the flight engineer on that probably about two19 years.
- 20 Q What years basically did you fly the 727's?
- 21 A I think from around 1987 to 1989.
- 22 Q When you were a pilot and worked on 727's,
- 23 was smoking permitted in the cabins?
- 24 A Yes, it was.
- 25 Q Could you tell the jury basically what your

- flashlight to get around?
- 2 A Yes, indeed. When it is dark, most of those
- 3 flights were in the morning, the redeye or so. To
- 4 see, I would need to shine my flashlight. As you
- 5 recalled, they have the lights, the row lighting. You
- have to follow the lights with your flashlight and seewhere you are going.
- Q Now, you said a moment ago that the smokewould make your eyes water. Did it have any other
- physical affects on you?A I was bothered, my sinuses.
- 12 Q Did you notice any of the same visual --
- 13 visually did you notice any of the same things
- 14 happening to other flight attendants, to flight
- 15 attendants or passengers?
- A Yes, I did. It's a terrible job to be there
- 17 walking back and forth. We sit down when we are
- 18 flying, but flight attendants walk everywhere they go
- 9 and I noticed a lot of problems. Nasal congestion.
- 20 Q As one of your responsibilities on the
- 21 flight, were you in charge of what we have been
- 22 calling the no smoking signs?
- 23 A You know, I don't remember. I don't remember
- 24 where that switch was.
- 25 Q Are you aware of whether from time to time

Page 887

- 1 responsibilities -- aside from being a pilot, but what
- 2 your responsibilities were that would from time to
- 3 time take you into the cabin?
 - A I would respond to the flight attendants
- queries or if they asked me to come back to note
- anything that's wrong with the airplane, any strange
 noises, irate passengers, passenger requests and their
- 8 overall being uncomfortable.
- o Overall being unconnortable
- 9 Q Were you familiar with the conditions on the 10 727 when smoking was permitted, as you said, in '87,
- 11 '88, '89?
- 12 A Yes. Especially on long flights. I flew
- 13 from Detroit to San Francisco, Detroit-Seattle, four
- 14 and a half, five hour flights, and as I would come out
- of the cockpit door with my flashlight, I would see a
- smoke-filled cabin and the easy way to describe that
- 17 is like a smoke filled barroom. Your eyes would
- 18 water, your vision was impaired.
- One thing about a barroom, you can walk around or even leave the barroom, but in the aircraft,
- 21 in its confined cabin, you just have to stay there.
- 22 Right in it. There is n where to go. Changing seats
- 23 wouldn't make a difference.
- 24 Q You mentioned your having your flashlight.
- 25 Were there times when you actually needed this

- Page 889
- 1 there had been requests to turn on the no smoking
- 2 sign?

4

- 3 A Yes.
 - Q Under what circumstances would that happen?
- 5 A You have all the smoke in there and you
- 6 couldn't do anything with it, it's just there, so to
- limit the conditions, we had to turn on the no smoking
- 8 sign until everybody put them out, if we got too many
- 9 complaints.
 - Q You mentioned seeing visually what happened to people and seeing the smoke. Did you ever see any
- to people and seeing the smoke. Did you ever see anevidence of the smoke on anything inside the plane?
- A Yes. As a flight engineer, I inspected the aircraft before a flight. I checked the cabin vents,
- 15 the gas pers, the air vents, individual air vents, a
- 16 brown substance would appear to be tar or nicotine
- 17 along the side walls of the aircraft from I guess the
- 18 front of that wall there all the way back here maybe,
- 10 dealer transfer similar in and analysis and
- 19 that's how long the airplane is, and on the sides you
- 20 see vents, louvres, and you see the stains on there as
- 22 Q Mr. Brown, are you aware if the 727, for
- instance, had any ventilation systems that would do something with the air?
 - something with the air?

 A It didn't do much to the smoke. It

Page 892

Page 893

distributed the air, but the smoke was still there.

- Q That was going to be my next question. What
- 3 effect, if any, did these ventilation systems or
- 4 whatever kind of system they are going to call it,
- 5 what effect did it have on the smoke in the air as you
- 6 described earlier?

2

- 7 A Practically none.
 - Q Mr. Brown, did you have opportunities to fly on 727's and other airplanes since the domestic
- 10 smoking ban in 1990?
- 11 A Yes, of course.
- 12 Q Have you noticed any differences between the 13 air quality before 1990 and after 1990?
- 14 A Yes, indeed. As a matter of fact, Northwest
- 15 was the first airline to ban the smoking We had an
- aggressive attempt to clean up everything, all the airplanes, including the upholstery, the pillows and
- 18 all that were stained and smelly.
- 19 So it was cleaned up after that and we are
- 20 proud of that and it was one of our marketing tools.
- 21 Q Mr. Brown, you and I just met yesterday; is
- 22 that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Do you have any kind of stake or interest or
- 25 anything in the outcome of this litigation against the

- the environmental control systems on the aircraft; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q You talked about being in the Army on direct exam?
- 6 A Yes.

3

4

5

10

11

20

1

2

9

19

23

- 7 Q But you didn't fly commercial jet aircraft in
- 8 the Army; is that correct?
- 9 A That's correct. Well, I did fly. I was in
 - the Army National Guard and with the airlines also.
 - Q But you weren't the pilot on those flights,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Yes, I was.
- 14 Q But those aren't commercial jet aircraft,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A I'm saying while I was in the Army National
- 17 Guard, I was also an airline pilot currently.
- 18 Q When you were in the National Guard, the only
- 19 plane that you flew held two people, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- 21 Q You didn't fly for a commercial airline until
- 22 you flew for Atlantic Southeastern Airlines; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q That was a Delta Connection commuter?

Page 891

- tobacco companies?
- A No, I don't.
- 3 Q Have you known Ms. French for more than a
- 4 day?

1

2

9

- 5 A No, I met her yesterday.
- 6 MR. TROP: Thank you very much, sir.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 8 THE COURT: Just a moment. You may inquire.
 - CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MS. TEDDER:
- 11 Q Good morning, Mr. Brown.
- 12 A Good morning. How are you?
- 13 Q I am fine. How are you today?
- 14 A Fine, thank you.
- 15 Q Good.
- 16 You said on direct exam that you had
- 17 previously been in the Air Force; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- 19 Q But you were not an Air Force pilot?
- 20 A That's correct, I was not.
- 21 Q You were a mechanic in the Air Force,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q When you were in the Air Force, were you not
 - one of the mechanics who specialized in maintaining

- A Yes.
- Q You started with them in 1985?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You are no longer a pilot, correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 O You haven't flown a commercial aircraft since
- 7 1995, correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
 - Q So your commercial flying career lasted
- 10 approximately between 1985 and 1995; isn't that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q You went to work for Republic Airlines in
- 14 1986?
- 15 A Ye
- 16 Q Within two to three years after you joined
- 17 Republic Airlines, they merged with Northwest
- 18 Airlines, correct?
 - A Yes.
- 20 Q Northwest Airlines banned smoking on all of
- 21 their domestic flights on April 23, 1988, correct?
- 22 A The ones less than two hours.
 - Q In fact, isn't it true, Mr. Brown, that
- 24 Northwest banned smoking not just on flights of two
- 25 hours in 1988, but on all of their domestic flights on

Page 896

- March 23, 1988, isn't that correct?
- 2 A I am not sure.
- 3 You are not sure?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Okay. Well, let me see if I can refresh your
- 6 recollection.
- 7 MS. TEDDER: May I approach, your Honor?
- 8 THE COURT: You may.
- 9 Q I would like for you to take a look at this.
- 10 MR. TROP: Your Honor, may I see what that
- 11 is?
- 12 THE COURT: Of course.
- MS. TEDDER: Shall I show it to him? 13
- 14 THE COURT: Please.
- 15 Q Just let me know when you have had an
- 16 opportunity to review it.
- 17 A Okay. Read the whole thing?
- 18 No, I don't think you have to read the whole
- 19 thing. You just have to read through here, that first
- 20 paragraph.
- 21 Okay, thank you.
- 22 Does that, Mr. Brown, refresh your
- 23 recollection that Northwest banned smoking not just on
- flights of two hours or less, but on all of their
- domestic flights as of March 23, 1988?

- A No, I don't.
- Q If she flew on the L-1011, you were never a 2
- pilot on that aircraft; is that correct? 3
- 4
- A No, I never was Q You don't anything about the ventilation 5
- system on that aircraft, correct? 6
 - A No, I don't.

7

8

13

- Q If she flew on the 747, you were never a
- pilot on that aircraft; is that correct? 9
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q You don't know anything about the ventilation
- system on that aircraft, correct? 12
 - A Well, the 747 is the same as the 727.
- 14 But you are not specifically familiar with
- 15 the ventilation system on a 747, correct?
- 16 A No, I am not.
- 17 Q And you don't know anything about how often
- the air was completely replaced in the cabin on a 747, 18
- 19 correct?
- 20 A No, I don't.
- 21 Q And you don't know that about an L-1011
- 22 either; is that correct?
- 23 A No, I don't.
- 24 Q The same thing would be true for a 757,
- 25 correct?

Page 895

- A I'm still not certain.
- 2 Q But if that's what the record reflects, you
- 3 don't have any reason to dispute that, do you?
 - A No, I don't.
 - Q Assuming that's correct, then, you worked on
- 5 6 commercial aircraft for only two to three years when
- 7 smoking was allowed on domestic flights, correct?
- 8 That would be correct, yes?
- 9 I'm sorry, you have to answer audibly for the 10
- 11 A I haven't done the math, but if you have done
- 12 it.

1

4

- 13 Q '85 to '88, about three years?
- 14 Α Three years, yes.
- 15 Q You don't know anything about Ms. French's
- 16 exposure to second-hand smoke while a flight
- 17 attendant; is that correct?
- 18 A No, I don't.
- 19 Q You don't know what routes Ms. French flew?
- 20 A No. I don't.
- 21 Q You don't know what aircraft Ms. French flew
- 22 on as a flight attendant?
- 23 A I assume she flew on a 727.
- 24 Q Other than that, you don't know about any
- 25 other aircraft she flew on?

- Page 897
- The same thing would be true for the MD-80,
- 3 correct?

1

2

4

- 5 Q You were never a pilot on the MD-80; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q All right.
- 9 It's the same DC-9 extended.

That's correct.

- 10 In the airplane that you flew for Atlantic
- Southeastern, that was called a short 360; is that 11
- correct? 12

14

- 13 A Yes, it was.
 - That plane only held 35 people, correct?
- 15 Approximately, yes.
- 16 Q You were not the captain on the short 360,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q When you flew the 727, you weren't the
- captain or the second officer, correct? 20
 - A Yes, I was the second officer.
- 22 Q I'm sorry, you weren't the captain, you were
- 23 the second officer, correct?
- 24 Yes, I was.
- 25 Q You don't know whether any of the aircraft

Page 900

- Ms. French flew on were airplanes with a one pass
- circulation system, do you?
- 3 A No, I don't.
 - Q You don't know how many of those would have
- 5 recirculated air, if any; is that correct?
- A No, I don't.
- 7 Q You talked a little bit on direct exam about
- flight attendants talking about smoke on the aircraft;
- do you recall that testimony?
- 10 A You can refresh me some.
- 11 Q You talked a little bit on direct exam about
- what you saw in the cabin, okay, and what you observed
- when smoke was on the aircraft, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q When you flew for Northwest Airlines, you
- flew, you mentioned, the 727, correct? 16
- 17
- 18 Q And that plane, I think you said, held about
- 19 144 people, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q And on that plane the pilot can control the
- 22 ventilation system, correct?
- 23 A We did, I believe, yes.
- 24 Q And the pilot could increase the ventilation
- 25 if he chose to do so, correct?

- you chose?
- "A. Yes, airflow."
- Do you recall giving that answer to that 3
- question?

1

2

6

8

10

- 5 A Yes.
 - Q And that testimony was true and correct when
- 7 you gave it, correct?
 - A Yes. To the best of my knowledge.
- 9 Q And it is true today, correct?
- Q The captain could also turn on the no smoking 11
- sign, correct? 12
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 If there were problems.
- 15 And if the plane recirculated air, you could
- turn off the recirculation, correct? 16
- A There was a recirculation switch that if 17
- something were to fail, if the system were to fail,
- you can allow it to recirculate or stop recirculating, 19
- 20 I believe.
- 21 Q So if in fact you thought there were problems
- 22 with the smoke on the airplane and the plane
- recirculated air, you could turn off the
- recirculation, correct? 24
- A No. No. 25

Page 899

- We can adjust it for passenger comfort.
- Okay, and you could in fact increase it if
- 3 you chose to do so, correct?
 - A Increase what?
 - The ventilation in the aircraft.
- 6 We increased the temperature, things like
- 7 that.

1

2

4

5

- 8 Q You could in fact increase the ventilation,
- couldn't you, Mr. Brown?
- 10 A I don't know if that's a good enough
- statement, to increase the ventilation. We can do 11
- 12 things to adjust it is mainly what we do.
- 13 Q Do you recall giving a deposition in this
- 14 case?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you recall that that deposition was taken
- 17 on January 21?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q Do you recall in that deposition being asked
- 20 the following question and giving the following
- 21 answer:

25

- 22 This is page 99, line 13 through 15. Do you
- 23 recall being asked the following question and giving
- 24 the following answer:
 - "Q. You could increase the ventilation if

- Page 901
- Q Okay. Again, I would ask you if you recall giving a deposition in this case on January 21, 2001? 2
- A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Again, I am looking at page 99, lines 19 5 through 21.
 - Do you recall being asked the following
- 6 question and giving the following answer: 7
 - "Q. You could, if the plane recirculated air, turn off the recirculation fans, couldn't you?
 - "A. Yes."
- 12 Do you recall giving that answer to that question on January 21, 2001? 13
- A Yes. As I stated, there is a recirculating 14
- fan switch which you have the ability to turn on and 15 16 off.
- 17 Okay.

8

9

10

11

- Α But within a set procedure, not at your
- 19 discretion.
- 20 Q You could also adjust -- if you thought there
- 21 were problems with smoke on the aircraft, could you
- 22 also adjust the pressurization, increasing the rate,
- 23 correct?
- 24 The rate of discharge, yes.
- 25 And it's also true, isn't it, Mr. Brown, that

- if you received complaints, you did just those things we mentioned, correct?
- A Those things I had available to me for 4 passenger comfort.
 - Q I'm sorry, I don't mean to cut you off.
- 6 A Okay. To adjust the ventilation, the cabin pressurization for passenger comfort, yes.
- Q And you didn't just have them available, you 8 9 in fact did those things we mentioned, correct?
- 10 A Certainly.
- 11 Q You also said on direct exam, I think, that 12 you observed smoke in the cabin of the aircraft,

3

5

- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q Your job was in the cockpit, not in the
- 16 cabin, correct?
- 17 A No. My job is throughout the entire aircraft
- 18 as assigned.
- 19 Q I'm sorry, you stop and I think it's my turn
- 20 to talk, so I don't mean to cut you off.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q Would it be fair to say that you spent most
- of your time in the cockpit and not in the cabin?
- 24 A That would be fair to say, yes.
- 25 Q In fact, you would characterize your trips to

- 1 moment, your Honor.
- 2 Thank you. I am finished.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3
- 4 THE COURT: Is there anything further?
- MR. TROP: Yes. Just very briefly. 5
 - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. TROP:

6

8

13

15

20

22

- Q Mr. Brown, Ms. Tedder referred to your
- deposition where you gave some answers and I guess you 9
- had to refresh your recollection. 10
- Do you remember giving your deposition? 11
- 12 A Yes, I do.
 - Q It was Mr. Gerrity who was asking you
- questions? 14
 - A Yes.
- Q How long did that deposition go? 16
- 17 A About five months.
- Q How long did the actual questioning go? 18
- 19 A About four and a half hours they drilled me.
 - That was up in Atlanta?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q You mentioned also that there were times when
- 23 you would be affected by the smoke yourself?
- 24
- 25 Q You as a pilot, did you have anything you

Page 903

- 1 the cabin as an infrequent occurrence?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And in fact when you worked for Atlantic
- Southeast Airlines, the average length of the flight
- you flew was approximately an hour, correct?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q When you were working for Atlantic
- Southeastern Airlines flying an hour or so at most,
- you could often go through an entire flight without
- 10 going to the back of the cabin, correct?
- 11 A Atlantic Southeast Airlines, yes.
- Q You also, on those occasions when you were in
- the cabin, in the front of the cabin, and there was
- smoke in the cabin, you could still see the back of
- the airplane, correct? 15
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q You mentioned a couple of problems that I
- 18 think you had when you were exposed to smoke on direct
- exam, correct; do you remember talking about stuffy 19
- 20
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q When you are not around smoke you don't have
- 23 those problems, correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 MS. TEDDER: If you will give me just a

- could do to alleviate the problems you had?
- A I was fortunate, I could go up to the cockpit
- and get on my oxygen mask and breathe and clear my
- O Did the passengers and flight attendants have
- that same capability?
 - A No.
- O You were asked a little bit about the
- 9 ventilation system. Did you have the authority or do
- any of the pilots have the authority to just flick it
- on and flick it off at any time they felt they wanted 11
- 12 to?

21

7

- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Do you have some kind of procedure that you
- needed to do? 15
- 16 A We have to follow procedure. Everything we
- 17 do is -- most everything we do is by procedure.
- 18 Q Is that obviously for safety reasons of the
- 19 people on board?
- 20 A Yes. Yes.
 - MR. TROP: Thank you very much, Mr. Brown.
- 22 THE WITNESS: You are welcome.
- 23 THE COURT: You may be excused.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 25 (Witness excused)

Page 905

Page 906

1 THE COURT: Call your next witness, please.
2 Who will that be?

MR. REILLY: May we have a brief side bar? THE COURT: We can have a brief side bar conference, but you can get your next witness in. (At the sidebar.)

MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I object very strenuously to the questioning of these witnesses about the length of their depositions. It's highly improper. It is highly improper for these witnesses to say "I was prilled for four hours." It's completely inappropriate.

THE COURT: I think it has to be relevant to something. Like if they say -- if they are saying now that what they said at deposition was wrong or they were confused or something like that, then it may be relevant how long the deposition was or how it was conducted, but otherwise, I don't think it has that much relevance.

MR. ENGRAM: They had opportunities to read and sign the deposition and make any corrections.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. He didn't say that. He wasn't saying now at trial that his deposition testimony was wrong or anything, so I

much impeachment.

MR. REILLY: True.

THE COURT: In other words, I don't think that there was a need to rehabilitate him in the sense of explaining how long the deposition was.

Anyway, let's go on. I don't know if this will come up again or not. Only if it bears some connection to the questioning.

I see the plaintiff's position is that he was impeached. I don't think he was very greatly impeached, but whatever.

MR. TROP: It wasn't lengthy, but still it was an attempt to --

THE COURT: But he didn't say "I had trouble understanding the questions" or "I was tired."
You know, he didn't say anything like that. I thought it was gratuitous. That's the word I was trying to think of, gratuitous.

MR. REILLY: Your Honor, people making comments like I was grilled for four hours.

THE COURT: That came from the witness, it didn't come from the question.

MR. REILLY: That's what I mean. Everybody would have to be asleep at the switch to think that that was something that was done without

Page 907

agree that it was kind of -- what's the word I am trying to think of? Not fortuitous, the word that sounds like fortuitous. Anyway, I think it's like thrown in there. MR. TROP: Judge, in cross-examination by

MR. TROP: Judge, in cross-examination by Ms. Tedder, she took two very small points on a 200 page deposition where he answered yes and didn't put like a yes comma and some other little explanation. He didn't have it exactly right, so she cross-examined him in an attempt to impeach him and make him look perhaps dishonest. So I have the right to -- either dishonest or ignorant.

So I have the right to explain to him, to let the jury know that he was asked hours and hours and hours of questions and these are two little things that he just misspoke about and did not recall. That's perfectly proper.

MR. REILLY: No, it is completely improper. That is exactly what the rules don't permitted. The case law is replete that you cannot simply say to someone was your deposition lengthy, was it grueling?

THE COURT: You can under certain circumstances. Frankly, I didn't think there was

Page 909

knowledge, that question and answer.

THE COURT: That it was done what?

MR. REILLY: That it was done without

knowledge.

THE COURT: You mean he asked the question expecting he was going to answer that way?

MR. TROP: We will bring him in and ask him if we talked that way.

MR. REILLY: We have had two witnesses who said she was grilled for an hour and a half, it seemed like three hours, and now we have a second witness who says "I was grilled for four hours."

This is not a coincidence.

THE COURT: This is not my view.

MR. TROP: I would request to bring him in outside of the jury.

THE COURT: No, I happen to take your position. Let's go ahead.

(Continued on next page.)

Page 910 1 (Jury present.) 2 Thereupon, 3 KATE JEWEL, 4 called as a witness by the plaintiff, being first duly 5 sworn, testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 8 Q Please state your name. 9 Kate Jewel. 10 Q Where do you live? 11 DELETED 12 13 14 15 16

17 A It's probably about as far away from here as 18 you can get.

- 19 Q I guess there is Hawaii.
- 20 A That's true. I mean the contiguous states.
- 21 Q The jury has already heard a lot of testimony
- 22 from other airline attendants, so I am going to try to 23 be brief.
- 24 Tell the jury about your background, your 25 family background.

- forward, so to speak. 1
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q In 1976 and thereon, up until approximately
- 1990, what were the circumstances and conditions 4 5 regarding smoking on the aircraft that you flew and
 - tell the jury the type of aircraft that you did fly.
- A I flew 727's. I believe I was still flying 7
- 707's. I'm not sure when I started flying DC-10's, 8 9 but sometime in that timespan.
 - Q Was smoking permitted between 1976 up to approximately 19 -- well, 1988, '89, '90?
- 12 A Yes.

10

11

15

- How about international, was it permitted up 13 0 until about 1995 or '96? 14
- A It was permitted longer on the international 16 flights.
- 17 Q During the period of time that smoking was 18 permitted between the years that you mentioned,
- explain to the jury where the smoking sections were 19 20 and what the general atmosphere was like. As I said,
- they heard testimony already, so I am trying to move 21 22
 - things along.
- 23 A I don't remember exactly when smoking 24 sections started, so I'm not sure if the time period
 - that I am supposed to talk about is in when there was

Page 911

- A My family background?
- 2 Yes. In other words, what does your family
- 3 consist of, who lives home with you, children, things

1

7

- 5 A I am single and I have two cats.
- 6 Q What is your present occupation?
 - A I am a flight attendant.
- 8 Q Tell the jury how long you have been a flight
- 9 attendant and really just summarize your history with
- being a flight attendant and who you were employed
- with from the first days and up until now.
- A I have been a flight attendant with American
- 13 Airlines since July of 1970 and I continue to be so
- today. 14
- 15 Q So that's approximately 30 years you have
- 16 been a flight attendant?
- 17 A It will be 32 at the end of July.
- 18 Q Tell the jury the type of aircraft that you
- 19 have worked on as a flight attendant throughout the 20
- 21 THE COURT: Excuse me for interrupting. How
- 22 about limiting to the time that we are concerned
- 23 with?
- 24 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, thank you, Judge.
- 25 Q Let's try to limit your testimony from 1976

- no smoking sections, but in the beginning of the
- smoking sections it was like half the cabin was 2
- 3 designated smoking, the other half was designated
- 4 nonsmoking.
- 5 Were there separate smoking sections in first Q
- 6 class?
- 7 A Yes. The last couple of rows of first class
- 8 might be -- depending upon how big first class was.
- 9 Q Was there also a separate smoking section in 10 business class?
- 11 A I never flew business class.
 - How about in what we call, what's the other
- class? 13

12

- A Coach.
- 15 Q Coach. Tell the jury whether or not there
- was a separate section? 16
- 17 A Approximately the last half of coach was 18 designated smoking when they started designating that
- 19 there were sections.
- 20 Q Tell the jury generally what your duties were 21 as a flight attendant.
- 22 A We served the cabin from a galley that was in
- 23 the back, except for one aircraft, always in the back
- half of the airplane where the smoking section was.
- We would travel through the smoke, usually starting

- the service in the beginning of the cabin working 2 back.
- 3 Q What was the general environment over those
- years in terms of your being subjected, you along with
- other airline attendants, what was the environment
- A You couldn't escape it. Even if you were in
- the first row of coach, there would be smoke from the
- last row of first class. It was all over the
- airplane. It was heavier in the smoking sections, but
- 11 it was everywhere.
- 12 Q Could you actually see the smoke as you
- 13 walked through those --
- You could see it, you could smell it. \
- Q What did it do to you personally, you in
- 16 particular? What effect did it have on you?
- 17 A Every time I would leave a flight, my clothes
- would smell, my skin would smell, my hair would smell.
- Q How about your eyes and your system? 19
- A I couldn't wear my contacts because my eyes
- 21 would be too dry and they would burn.
- Q Were there times in which you, as a result of
- the smoking condition that you had requested that the
- pilots turn on the no smoking sign?
- 25 A When it would get so much that we could

A Yes.

1

8

- Q What happened to airline attendants when you 2
- were moving carts -- the jury has heard that type of 3
- 4 testimony, when you were pushing carts up and back and
- serving people, what were you -- what were airline 5
- attendants, such as you and others, subjected to in 6
- 7 terms of second-hand smoke from the passengers?
 - A Well, if you were serving in a smoking
- 9 section, it was right in your face a lot of times, and
- certainly when walking through it, you were just 10
- walking through the cloud, especially in the smoking 11
- 12 section. It wasn't quite as noticeable in the
- nonsmoking section, but it was still in the air. 13
- 14 Q Would passengers frequently get up and 15 exercise who were smoking and walk through the
- 16 nonsmoking sections?
- 17 A Oh, they liked to congregate by the galley.
- They thought that they could come back there and just 18
- have a cigarette and chitchat. 19
- Q Was the smoking of passengers such that you 20
- 21 were usually or you had on occasion sustained any
- 22 burns to your clothes or your hands?
- A I didn't, but a flight attendant that I 23
- 24 worked with did.
- 25 Q Would you frequently see cigarettes in ash

Page 915

- almost like not breathe, we would ask them to turn the
- no smoking section on to clear the air, but it was
- very, very slow to clear the air and basically 3
 - negligible.
- 5 Q When you did request that something be done,
- it had virtually no effect?
- 7 A Very little to none.
- Q Were you advised or did you have the
- 9 understanding that there was some sort of ventilation
- 10 system?

11

- A Yes.
- 12 O Were you able to appreciate, did you notice
- whether or not the ventilation system on the aircraft
- improved to any appreciable degree the smoking
- 15 conditions when the ventilation system was on or off?
- 16 A I did not.
- 17 Q Could you see, for example, as a result of
- 18 the ventilation system, could you see the smoke being
- drawn anywhere and fresh air being pumped in?
- 20 A Not at all. Not at all. It was just too big
- 21 a cloud.
- 22 Q Could you see the smoke actually just sort
- 23 of --
- 24 It would hover.
- O It would hover? 25

trays smoldering?

4

6

7

- 2 A Oh, absolutely. And I thought that was a 3
 - safety risk also as well as a health risk.
 - Q You say smoldering, what do you mean by smoldering? I know I used the word.
 - A A lit cigarette sitting there burning with
 - the plume of smoke coming up.
- 8 Q And of course you could see the smoke coming
 - from the people who were exhaling?
 - A Of course.
- Q Would you frequently be subjected to smoke 11
- 12 being exhaled right directly as you were serving, in
- 13
- A Often times you would ask somebody what they 14
- wanted to drink or wanted for dinner and they would 15 exhale as they told you. 16
- 17 Q Ms. Jewel, did you frequent -- I am going to
- ask you whether -- did you notice the type of 18
- 19 cigarettes that most people -- well, that the people 20 smoked?
- 21 A I pretty much saw every American brand that I 22 can think of.
- 23 Q Did you see, for example, Kool?
- 24 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, I object to leading.
 - If she is asked what brand she saw and she can tell,

Page 920 Page 918 MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, may I have a moment? 1 fine, but to suggest the answer in the question is 2 THE COURT: You may. 2 leading. Q Just one last question. I promised to keep 3 3 THE COURT: No, I don't think so. 4 4 it short and I think I did. Q Did you see frequently a Kool? After the ban, tell the jury how -- after the 5 5 ban on smoking, after it was finally banned, tell the 6 Q Lucky Strike? 6 7 jury what the conditions were with regard to smoke on 7 Yes. 8 8 Q Peil Mall? 9 A You are talking about after it has been 9 Yes. banned internationally also? 10 10 Raleigh? Q Yes. Yes. Tell how the air conditioning and 11 11 Yes. the circumstances got better or improved. 12 12 Richland? Well, was there any smoke, was there any 13 13 Yes. 14 14 smoke there after it was banned? Viceroy? 15 A No. The air felt cleaner, seemed cleaner. I 15 A Yes. personally experienced maybe less colds -- definitely Q Kent? 16 less colds and sinus kind of problems than I had in 17 17 Yes. 18 the years that I flew in smoke. 18 Newport? 19 Q When there was smoke there, you had off and 19 Yes. 20 on problems with your sinuses? 20 Q Old Gold? 21 21 A Yes. Yes. 22 Q After the ban you didn't have those problems 22 The first group is Brown and Williamson. The 23 anymore; isn't that correct? 23 second group was Lorillard. A Maybe once a year versus ten times. 24 Philip Morris. Marlboro? 24 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you very much. 25 A Yes. Page 921 Page 919 THE COURT: Any questions? Benson & Hedges? 1 1 MR. ENGRAM: Yes, your Honor. 2 2 Yes. 3 Q Chesterfield? 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGRAM: 4 A Yes. Q Good morning, Ms. Jewel. I am Jonathan 5 Q Virginia Slims? 5 A Yes. 6 Engram. We met three days ago; is that right? 6 7 A Yes, we did. 7 Q That's Philip Morris. Q I just want to go over some things about your 8 8 And R.J. Reynolds: Camels? 9 THE COURT: There is no objection, but you work history. 10 Number one, you never worked as a flight 10 can't say what they are. 11 attendant for TWA, did you? 11 MR. WEINSTEIN: It is in the record, Judge. THE COURT: It doesn't matter. 12 A I did not. 12 Q You flew your entire career with American 13 Q R.J. Reynolds: Camels? 13 14 Airlines? 14 A Yes. Q Doral? 15 15 A Yes, I did. Yes, I do. 16 16 Q You did not meet the plaintiff, Lynn French, Yes. sitting next to Mr. Weinstein, until you came here to 17 Winston? 17 Miami this week, correct? 18 Yes. 18 19 Salem? 19 A I met her Monday night. 0 A Yes. 20 Q In fact, you did not even know her name until 20 you came to Miami this week to testify? 21 Q Virtually all of the American brands that I 21 22 have just named; is that correct? 22 A That's correct. Q You do know, however, the lady seated in the 23 23 A Yes. 24 first row next to Mr. Brown, don't you? 24 Do you know Lynn French?

25

A I'm sorry?

A I didn't meet her before coming here.

Page 924

Page 925

- 1 Q Lani Blissard?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 Q You have known Lani Blissard since the 70's,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q In fact, you are good friends with Lani
- 7 Blissard?
- 8 A True.
- 9 Q And it was Ms. Blissard who recommended you
- 10 as a witness to Ms. Weinstein, correct?
 - A Correct.
- 12 Q You came all the way from Orcas Island,
- 13 Washington to Miami, Florida, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q That island is almost all the way to Canada,
- 16 right?

11

- 17 A That's right.
- 18 Q So if you look at a map of the United States,
- 19 you came from the northern most tip on the Pacific
- 20 Ocean in the continental United States to the
- 21 southernmost tip on the Atlantic Ocean on the East
- 22 Coast?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 Q And you didn't even know her name before you
- 25 got here?

1

2

4

11

- your deposition or when the question was asked of you
 at that time:
- 3 "Q. Was there any particular plane you
- 4 preferred flying on during your San Diego period?"
- 5 Okay, that would be through 1994, correct?
 - A Correct.
- 7 Q You said:

6

8

22

24

2

8

- "A. The 767.
- 9 "Q. Why?
- 10 "A. There was never any smoke on that
- 11 airplane domestically and also because it was a better
- 12 plane to -- it was the more flight attendant friendly
- 13 airplane as far as design."
- 14 A Right.
- 15 Q Was that your answer Monday night?
- 16 A That was my answer Monday night and when you
- 17 said San Diego, I heard San Francisco. That was
- 18 somewhat true in San Diego also.
- 19 Q You know nothing about airplane ventilation
- 20 systems technically, correct?
- 21 A I do not. I only know what I experienced.
 - Q You don't know whether an airplane had a one
- 23 pass system or a recirculation system, do you?
 - A I don't know.
- 25 Q Do you know anything about air exchange rates

Page 923

- Q Let's focus on the time you were with
- 3 American based in San Diego from 1976 to 1994, okay?
 - A Okav

A I did not.

- 5 Q You flew on the Boeing 707, the DC-10, the
- 6 Boeing 727 and the Boeing 767, didn't you?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q From that period, during that period of time,
- 9 1976 to 1994, when smoking sections were in place, you
- 10 did not fly the L-1011 or the Boeing 747, did you?
 - A I did not.
- 12 Q In fact, the plane you preferred to fly when
- 13 you were based in San Diego was the 767, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And that was because there was never any
- 16 smoke on that airplane domestically and because it was
- 17 more flight attendant friendly as far as design; is
- 18 that correct?
- 19 A When you asked me that question in the
- 20 deposition, I wasn't thinking years. So when I first
- 21 started flying the 767, there was some smoke on it,
- 22 but it was a newer airplane and the period I was
- 23 thinking about was 1995 to '99 when I was flying trans
- 24 cons out of San Francisco that were not smoking.
- 25 Q When I asked you the question at page 32 of

- 1 on airplanes?
 - A Just that they are bad.
- 3 Q Again, page 51 of your deposition, Ms. Jewel.
- 4 Do you remember the question I asked you and the
- 5 answer that you gave, page 51, line 6:
- 6 "Q. Do you know anything about the air 7 exchange rates on any of these airplanes?
 - "A. No, I do not."
- 9 A That is correct, I do not.
- 10 MR. TROP: Your Honor, I believe it is the
- 11 same answer.
- 12 THE COURT: The jury will consider it.
- 13 Q You don't know how many rows were designated
- 14 for smoking on the Boeing 727 at any time, do you?
- 15 A It would vary.
- 16 Q You have no idea about which type of
- 17 airplanes Lynn French flew at TWA, do you?
- 18 A I do not.
- 19 Q You don't know where Ms. French has been
- 20 based over the course of her career, do you?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q You have no idea what route she flew over the
- 23 course of her career, do you?
- 24 A No, I don't.
- 25 Q You don't have any idea what mix of

Page	926

- 1 international or domestic flights she flew, do you?
- 2 A I don't.
- 3 Q And you have no idea how many smokers were on
- 4 any of the flights that she flew, do you?
- 5 A I do not.
- 6 Q Do you know what position she worked on the
- 7 aircraft during the course of her career?
- 8 A I do not.
- 9 Q Now, on average, how many hours a month did
- 10 you fly during this time period, 1976 to 1994?
- 11 A 67 to 75.
- 12 Q And on average, you would work what, 12, 14
- 13 days a month?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And you have never worked as a flight
- 16 attendant on a flight to or from Hawaii, correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q You said that the smoke was noticeable,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A I did.
- 21 Q You could see it, you could taste it and you
- 22 could smell it, correct?
- 23 A That's true.
- 24 Q And you said that the two most memorable
- 25 things about it were, number one, the way you smelled

- Q And you never had any sinus surgery to
- 2 correct your deviated septum, correct?
- 3 A Correct.

4

6

7

- MR. ENGRAM: Nothing further.
- 5 THE COURT: Redirect?
 - MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
 - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
- 9 Q Ms. Jewel, have you ever flown on any other
- 10 airline such as Delta, TWA, et cetera?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Was there anything different about the smoky
- 13 conditions from airline to airline?
- 14 A No. What I was saying to be pretty generic
- 15 for what thousands of us experienced.
- 16 Q The same?
- 17 A The same kind of conditions. I know that
- 18 from talking to other airline flight attendants and
- 19 from flying on other airlines.
- 20 Q When Mr. Engram asked you about your health
- 21 conditions, how you were affected, whether your
- 22 sinuses were affected, are you aware of the sinus
- 23 problems that other airline attendants experienced?
- 24 MR. REILLY: Objection.
- 25 MR. ENGRAM: Objection.

Page 927

- your hair and your skin and, number two, the way your
- 2 clothes smelled when you got off the airplane,
- 3 correct?

9

- 4 A That was the most memorable for me because I
- 5 didn't like smelling like that.
- 6 Q Mr. Weinstein asked you at the end of his
- 7 direct examination about sinus problems. You have
- 8 never been diagnosed with chronic sinusitis, have you?
 - A I have not.
- 10 Q In fact, during your entire career as a
- 11 flight attendant, you saw an ear, nose and throat
- 12 doctor only on one occasion when you were based in San
- 13 Diego, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And he helped you figure out what your sinus
- 16 problem was, correct?
- 17 A For that specific instance, yes.
- 18 Q Yes. And your problem was that you had a
- 19 deviated septum in your nose and the ear, nose and
- 20 throat doctor wanted to do surgery, correct?
- 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, may I -- excuse
- 22 me, I will wait.
- 23 THE COURT: You may answer.
- 24 A That was the ear, nose and throat doctor,
- 25 that's what he told me, along with some other things.

THE COURT: Sustained. Don't answer,

Page 929

please.

1

2

- 3 Q Now, counsel also asked you questions about
- 4 you coming from across the country?
 - A Right.
- 6 Q Tell the jury why you are here and
- 7 testifying.
- 8 A I am here to tell the truth of what it was
- 9 like back then, the conditions as they were, as I
- 10 experienced them, and what I experienced as a result
- 11 of being in the smoke; that regardless of what studies
- 12 or whatever, I know what I experienced and it was a
- 13 condition where I, as a nonsmoker, would come off the
- 14 airplane smelling and smelling like smoke, air, skin,
- 15 clothes.
- 16 Q Ms. Jewel, did you just think it was the 17 right thing to do?
- 18 A It was absolutely the right thing to do.
- 19 Q To come here to tell the jury what it was 20 like?
- 21 A Yes. So far I have been lucky and I know
- 22 there are thousands that have not been as lucky as I.
- 23 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: I have no further questions.

Page 9	930
--------	-----

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

22

23

24

25

7

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

1 THE COURT: You may be excused. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 (Witness excused.) 4 THE COURT: Call your next witness, please. 5 MR. WEINSTEIN: The next witness is Dr. 6 Michael Persky. 7 MR. REILLY: While we are waiting for Dr. 8 Persky, can we have a short recess? 9 THE COURT: How does the jury feel, does 10 anyone need a recess? We have only been going 11 for an hour. 12 MR. REILLY: I still would like a short 13 meeting. 14 Thereupon, 15 MICHAEL A. PERSKY, M.D., 16 called as a witness by the plaintiff, being first duly 17 sworn, testified as follows: 18 (At the side bar.) 19 MR. REILLY: Your Honor, in spite of the 20 sustaining of my objection, I think it's 21 necessary to move for a mistrial at this time. 22 THE COURT: I will overrule your objection. 23 I know what you are referring to, it was 24 gratuitous again. She was just waiting to get 25 that in.

I am going to ask you to please make sure that
when your client testifies, she doesn't refer to
all of these other people.

Now, during her testimony, if you think something came up that allows you to ask that, you approach the bench.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Sure. Certainly. Thank

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: I see everyone has taken a break. I didn't think we needed a break. We have to wait for the jurors. I don't want to take a big break because it takes us so long to reconvene, so if you don't mind, we will just sit here and wait for the jurors to come back.

(Pause.)

17 All right, we will begin.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

20 Q Doctor, would you please state your name and 21 your professional address?

A Yes. My name is Michael A. Persky, M.D. My professional address DELETED

O Doctor, are you a duly licensed practicing

Page 931

1 MR. REILLY: That's right. 2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: I don't think it is a basis for a mistrial, but I understand your point.

I guess that ends the testimony by the

5 flight attendants except for the plaintiff 6 herself and I would tell you, plaintiff's

7 counsel, that you must tell your client that she

8 is not to volunteer about how thousands of others 9 are sick.

> MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, most respectfully, may I say this? When they opened the door --

THE COURT: They didn't open the door and they didn't ask the question that called for that, she just gave the answer.

MR. WEINSTEIN: What I am saying is, you will recall her question to her of well, you don't have a problem, you never experienced any kind of sinus or difficulty problem.

I respectfully submit that opened the door as wide as you can open up a door.

THE COURT: I disagree.

22 MR. WEINSTEIN: Because the implication is 23 she didn't have any problem.

24 THE COURT: That's your opinion. My 25 opinion is it didn't open any door like that and Page 933

physician in the State of California?

2 A Yes.

Q Doctor, for the benefit of the jury, would

you please give them the benefit of your background 5

and training? 6

Let me first ask you this. Are you married?

A Yes. I have been married for it will be 12 8 years July 28.

Q Do you have any children?

10 A I have two daughters, aged five and seven.

11 Q Doctor, would you please tell the jury or

give the jury the benefit of your background and

13 training in the medical field?

A Yes. I went -- I attended UCLA for my

undergraduate premedical education and went to medical

school in Atlanta, Georgia at Emory University. I went back to Los Angeles for my residency

training in ear, nose and throat and head and neck surgery. You may hear the term otolaryngology. I

19 20 spent five years at Los Angeles County USC Medical

21 Center, where I was trained in head and neck surgery

22 and ear, nose and throat. 23

I have been in practice in Encino, California 24 for the past 17 years, since 1985, in private

practice. The first 12 years of my practice I had two

Page 934

- 1 associates, Dr. Laurence Pleet and Dr. Walter Deshell.
- 2 For the past five years I have been in practice for
- 3 myself.

7

- 4 Q Doctor, you mentioned otolaryngology.
- 5 A Otolaryngology.
- 6 Q Explain what that involves.
 - A Well, O-T-O means ear and larynx is the
- 8 throat. And ology is the study of. So it's the study
- of the ear and throat. It used to be called
- 10 otorhinolaryngology, rhino being nose, which is ear,
- 11 nose and throat, but it was shortened for obvious
- 12 reasons.
- 13 Q Doctor, are you usually, is your specialty
- 14 referred to generally as an ENT?
- 15 A Yes. It encompasses both the medical
- 16 treatment of ear, nose and throat complaints as well
- 17 as the surgical treatment of problems that are found18 in the ears, nose and throat.
- 19 Q How about the upper respiratory system?
- Let me ask you this. Doctor, are you Board certified?
- 22 A Yes, I am.
- 23 Q What does Board certified mean?
- 24 A In America, there is a governing board that
- 25 governs over the Boards of different medical

- 1 learning to be in the specialty that you are in?
- 2 A Both doctors and medical students.
- 3 Q They would be doctors that you instruct that
- 4 are hoping to achieve the board certification, would
- 5 that be correct?
- A Correct.

7

11

14

1

5

6

7

9

11

12

- Q Doctor, do you perform surgery?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q Does your field, specialty involve the
- 10 treatment and diagnosis of problems with the sinuses?
 - A Yes, it does.
- 12 O Does the sinuses include what is called the
- 13 upper respiratory tract or system of the human body?
 - A Yes, it does.
- 15 Q Doctor, we have some diagrams. I would like
- 16 you to -- do you believe that a diagram of the sinus
- 17 area will aid you in describing the sinus cavity, will
- 18 it aid you in describing it to the jury?
- 19 A I think it will make clearer my explanation
- 20 to the court and the jury.
- 21 Q Which diagram do you think will aid you in
- 22 describing the sinuses?
- 23 A Having never seen them in this context, I
- 24 believe that one is probably sufficient.
- 25 Q Okay.

Page 935

- specialties and to become Board certified you have to
- 2 go through an accredited M.D. training program as well
- 3 as an accredited residency training program.
- 4 In otolaryngology, that involves a one year 5 internship and then four years of intensive residency
- 6 study in the field of otolaryngology.
- 7 Once you have completed that and have
- 8 documented enough surgical cases and study hours by
- 9 your department chairman at the university, you are
- 10 then eligible to take an examination before the
- 11 American Board of Otolaryngology.

12

- That examination for me took place in 1986 in
- 13 Chicago. It took place over two days. There is a
- 14 three-part written examination followed by a full day
- 15 of oral examination, and based upon your scores on the
- 16 examination, along with your training, the Board
- 17 decides whether to issue you your Board certification.
- 18 Q Are you on the staffs of any hospitals?
- 19 A Yes, I am. I am on the active staff of
- 20 Encino Tarzana Medical Center. They have two
- 21 campuses, one in Encino and one in Tarzana.
- 22 I am also on staff at LA County USC Medical
- 23 Center, I am an assistant clinical instructor for
- medical students and residents.
 Q So you actually teach doctors who are

Page 937

- THE COURT: It's good right where it is and
- 2 that way I can see it, the jury can see it, the
- 3 witness can see it and the attorneys can move
- 4 around if you need to.
 - Can you see it from there? You might face
 - it a little bit more toward the witness.
 - Can the jurors see it?
- 8 JURORS: Yes.
 - MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, may I have the
- 10 witness come down?
 - THE COURT: Of course. You may step down.
 - BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
- 13 Q Doctor, could you describe, as you look at
 - 4 this diagram, to the jury the sinuses, that is, the
- 15 sinus anatomy in a typical person?
- 16 A Okay. The nasal sinuses are air-containing
- 17 rooms inside of our skulls, particularly our face. We
- 18 have sinuses over our cheeks called maxillary sinuses,
- 19 which are illustrated here. They are actually usually
- 20 the largest sinuses in our heads.
- 21 Then between our eyes, in this area, the
- 22 upper part of our nose, there is a group of sinuses
- 23 called ethmoid sinuses, and they are like little,
- 24 maybe the size of your fingernail, circular or varied
- 25 shaped cells, and there may be ten to 20 of these

little compartments on each side separated by a very 2 thin little bone.

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

25

6

7

8

9

18

The third set of paired sinuses that we have are directly above our eyebrows. They are called frontal sinuses. They could be fairly large. They could be small. In some people they are not even present.

Lastly, there is a deep-seated sinus called the sphenoid sinus, and that is, if you went in the 10 nose straight back, as far back as you can go, to the back of the throat, actually, just in the upper part going back to the back of the throat is where the 12 13 sphenoid sinus is located.

All of these sinuses drain out into the nose, 14 particularly you can see right here the cheek sinuses, 15 16 the maxillary sinuses drain through the small opening, which is actually in reality often times the size of a 17 18 ballpoint pen. Sometimes it could be bigger.

19 But the sinuses do drain into this area between these stretchers that you see on the side wall 20 of the nose. These stretchers on the side wall of the 21 nose are called turbinates. There is three turbinates 22 on each side. There is an inferior turbinate, a 23 24 middle turbinate and a superior turbinate.

As far as we are concerned today, the key

passages.

2

9

10

14

16

17

18

19

• • • What cilia do is help move mucus across our respiratory tract passage to remove dirt and dust and smog and pollution and other things that might lodge in our respiratory passages.

Q Doctor, based upon your background and training, education, what you have read, are cilia affected by tobacco smoke?

A Yes, they are. They are paralyzed by tobacco

Generally speaking, are you aware of the 11 constituent or compounds or chemicals that are in 12 13 tobacco smoke?

A I know that there are up to 3- or 4,000 different chemical compounds. I am not aware of all 15 of them. Certainly tar and nicotine are the most common, but no, I am not an expert on all of the components in tobacco smoke.

Q Do you know the number of chemicals, 20 approximately, that are in tobacco smoke and their 21 effect overall?

A I just mentioned 3- to 4,000 different 22 chemicals and certainly many of them have different 23 24 25

Q What kind of effects are you talking about?

Page 939

- turbinates are the inferior turbinate, we are seeing
- 2 it on a different angle here, it is a thin piece of
- bone that comes down surrounded by lining, and above 3
- 4 that is the middle turbinate, which again is a thin
- piece of bone surrounded by lining. 5

Most of the sinuses drain out into the nose between this middle turbinate and inferior turbinate.

- Q Are there such, this jury has heard some mention of the word cilia.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Tell the jury what that is.
- 12 A May I sit down?
- 13 Yes.
- 14 Before I sit down, I didn't notice this
- before, but here are the numerous different little 15
- cell compartments that I described as being in the
- 17 ethmoid sinuses between the nose.
 - O Tell the jury what cilia means, what it is and what their function is.
- 19 20 A - Certainly in medical school we learn lots of 21 terminology basically translating common words into
- 22 medical terms.
- 23 In lay terms, what cilia are little hairs.
- They are hair cells. They are living cells that
- actually move on the lining of our respiratory

Page 941

- In other words, are there irritants, specifically, are
- there up to 40 chemicals, chemical irritants of those
- 3 chemicals that you are aware of?

4

16

- 5 Q Based on your background and training, are
- those 40 other chemicals, can they cause serious 6 7 illness?
- 8 Yes, they certainly can.
- 9 Q Doctor, we have heard, the jury has heard on
- opening statement from Mr. Reilly that, well, the 10
- sinuses, that air that people breathe in doesn't go to 11
- the sinuses because it doesn't move in and out like
- the lungs. Could you tell the jury whether that's so, 13
- 14 what Mr. Reilly said is so or not?
- 15 MR. REILLY: I object to the form, your
 - Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase, please.
- Q Doctor, does air that people breathe in, is 18
- it well known, do you know whether or not air that we 19
- breathe in enters the sinuses? 20
- 21 A Yes, it does. In fact, our current thoughts
- 22 on treating sinus disease is to actually open up those
- 23 small openings of the sinuses to allow air, more air
- 24 to get in to that lining.
- 25 We know that the lining of the sinuses, when

Page 945

Page 942

- exposed to air, becomes relatively normal and when the lining of the sinuses are blocked from air, the lining becomes swollen and abnormal. So in you and I, if we have normal sinuses, air is getting in there keeping 5 that lining normal.
- Certainly having been a specialist in this field for 17 years and being a gentleman who in earlier days enjoyed smoking cigars, I personally am no longer able to smoke cigars because when I do 10
- 11 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.
- 12 A I develop --

б

7

8

13

- THE COURT: Just one moment.
- 14 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- 17 Next question.
- 18 Q If someone, based on your knowledge,
- 19 background and training, if somebody smoked a
- 20 cigarette or cigar, does it enter the sinuses and
- 21 cause damage?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Tell the jury how and why.
- 24 A Well, it causes irritation to that small
- opening into the sinus, preventing air to get in once

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- Now, Doctor, did you have the occasion to 3
- 4 treat Lynn French professionally?
- 5 Yes, I did.
- Q Did you bring your medical records with you? 6
- 7 Yes, I did.
- Q Were those medical records maintained by you 8
- contemporaneously, that is, the records that you have 9
- brought with you, were they made at the time that you 10
- were treating her and made -- in a manner that is
- usual and customary for doctors in your specialty? 12
- Q Doctor, what's the first date that you saw 14
- 15 Lynn French?

13

- A The first date that Lynn French was in our 16
- offices was June 26, 1989, at which time she was seen 17
- by my associate, Dr. Laurence Pleet. 18
- 19 The first time that I actually saw Mrs.
- 20 French was on August 9, 1989.
- 21 Q Doctor, on initially seeing her in your
- office by you or others, was a history taken? 22
- 23 A Yes. A history was taken by my nursing
- staff. 24

5

6

12

13

16

25 Q Doctor, what is a history?

Page 943

- the irritating damaging irritants are in there, 1
- causing irritation, swelling, pain, pressure in the
- 3 head and often times sinusitis.
- 4 Q On an educational basis, have you ever seen
- 5 studies, diagrams that illustrate and visualize the
- 6 flow of air through the nose and into the sinuses?
- A Yes. There are very well documented
- videotapes where a small camera has been placed actually through what we call the canine fossa, which
- 10 is a small area underneath the lip here into the
- 11 sinus. Through that small hole a blue dye or black
- 12 particles have been placed into the cheek sinus and a
- 13 video camera has watched that dye and black
- particulate matter be pushed out of the sinus up
- 15 through the natural opening and out into the nose.
- The rate of that movement has been documented. 16
- 17 -Q Is it well established in the scientific
- 18 medical community that air that people breathe in,
- 19 smoke that people breathe in does indeed enter the
- 20 sinuses and it causes the damage that you have
- 21 mentioned?
- 22
- 23 So if somebody -- somebody, anybody were to
- state that it doesn't go in because the sinuses don't
- move in and out, that would not be so; is that

- A A history of a patient is like other
- 2 histories. It tries to find out as much information
 - about that patient as possible, particularly as to
- 4 pertaining to their problems.
 - Q Doctor, how important is it to take a
 - thorough history?
- 7 A It's very, very, very important. It's the
- first thing that's taught in medical school and in 8
- 9 residency, that before doing any tests, before doing
- anything to a patient, as a physician you need to talk 10
- 11 to the patient and find out about their history.
 - Q In taking a history, is it usual and
 - customary and mandated that you find out what the
- occupation of a patient is? 14 15
 - A Yes.
 - Why is that? 0
- 17 A Well, there are certain diseases that are
- 18 related to certain occupations. Certainly people that
- worked in the asbestos field developed certain forms 19
- of cancer. People who work outside in the sunshine
- 21 are more prone to develop skin cancer. People that
- inhale certain irritants are more likely to develop 22
- 23 certain diseases.
- 24 Q I want you to assume that Dr. Stammberger,
- 25 hired by the defendants, has testified that for the

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

6

17

18

19

22

last ten years he doesn't even ask the occupation of 2 any of his patients. I want to ask you whether that 3 is usual and customary and does it abide by or conform to the usual standards required of a doctor?

5 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. 6 Mischaracterization of Dr. Stammberger's 7 testimony. I object to the form of the question 8 because it mischaracterizes Dr. Stammberger's 9 testimony. 10

11

12

13

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

THE COURT: Since I haven't seen this part of the testimony, I am going to have to sustain the objection, unless you show me it. I will sustain the objection at this point.

14 Q Doctor, on the first occasion that you saw 15 Lynn French -- let me ask it this way. What history was initially taken of Lynn French?

17 A Again, are you asking me the first time she was seen in our office or the first time that you I 18 19 saw her?

20 THE COURT: Are you asking the first time he 21 saw her or the first time she came to the office? 22 MR. WEINSTEIN: I wanted to know what 23 history was taken of her.

24 A The first time she was in our office, actually the first question asked was her age and the

She denied any allergies. She denied any bleeding problems and stated that she was a nonsmoker.

She said that her hearing was good, she had 3 no dizziness. She had no other pain or nasal 4 discharge other than what was described. She denied 5 nasal obstruction, which is difficulty breathing 6 7 through the nose.

She denied any nasal trauma to her nose. She stated that she had approximately two to three colds per year, with frequent sore throats. She had difficulty swallowing only when she had her sore throats.

And then we asked about childhood diseases, such as measles and mumps and rheumatic fever, all of which she denied having. She denied having a history of pneumonia or asthma or hayfever.

We asked about past operations. She stated 17 that she had her adenoids and tonsils out as a child 18 and that she had had two C sections and an 19 20 appendectomy.

She stated that -- we usually ask about the patient's general health to see if there is anything else that we might have missed. She said that that was fine and then we asked about the family.

Her father was living and well and her mother

Page 947

second question asked was her occupation. She let us 2 know that she was a stewardess.

The next thing we asked for was her chief complaint, which is why she is presenting to our office that day.

Do you want me to go through?

Q Yes, I would like you to give me the history of what her complaints were with how old she was at that time.

A She was a 43-year-old stewardess at that time, complaining of sinus infections, post-nasal drip, hoarseness of her voice.

13 She stated that she had seen a Dr. Maday in 14 Woodland Hills and had received antibiotic injections three times, once a day, and also an oral antibiotic 15 16 called Lincomycin for four days.

17 She complained of pressure and titanous, 18 which is ringing, in both of her ears, greenish 19 expectorant or mucus from her sinuses, pressure in 20 both of her cheek areas, in her forehead and behind 21 her ears with terrible headaches. She also complained of a sore throat that had been present for 23 approximately 11 days and possible swollen neck 24 glands.

She denied being on any other medications.

Page 949

was also living and well. Her grandmother had a history of cancer. There is no diabetes history in the family. Her grandfather had high blood pressure 3 4 and there was no history of heart disease or allergies 5 in the family.

When I saw her the very first time on August 9, 1989, at that time she complained of a sinus infection with cough, stating that her ears felt plugged and she had headaches.

She stated that her symptoms had continued 10 since June of 1989, which was approximately two to 11 three months. She complained of frontal headaches with throbbing pain. She stated that she had green phlegm with post-nasal drip and that she reiterated that she had a history of multiple bouts of sinusitis. 15 16

Did you perform a physical examination? 0

A Yes, I did.

Q And what did that reveal?

The physical examination of the head and neck

entails palpating the neck for any masses or lymph 20

21 node swelling.

Q Palpating means?

23 Palpating means touching.

24 It then entails taking a look into the oral

cavity, the mouth, looking at the tongue and the

3

10

11

13

14

18

25

2

3

11

12

13

15

17

1 throat and other structures of the tongue, followed by looking into the nose with a little light and a nasal 2 speculum, which is an instrument that just fits into 4 the nostril and my hand and as my hand presses on the 5 speculum, it opens the nostril so that I can look 6 inside there.

I complete the examination then by checking the ears also with a little instrument called an otoscope.

- 10 Q Doctor, did there come a time when you formed a diagnosis based on your examination at least at that 11 12
- 13 A Yes. After having examined Mrs. French and 14 noting that she had some tenderness over her sinuses 15 when I pressed on the skin, as well as swollen
- bilateral inferior turbinates and given her history 16 17 along with the physical examination, I made a
- diagnosis of chronic sinusitis, noting that there was
- 19 an allergic component and I wanted to rule out or make
- 20 sure that there weren't other anatomic causes for the 21 chronic sinusitis.
- 22 Q Is your diagnosis based on a reasonable 23 degree or probability?
- 24

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

7

8

9

25 Doctor, did you set out a course, did you chronic sinusitis.

Explain the difference between each, since you have diagnosed Lynn, Lynn French, as having chronic sinusitis.

A Well, if somebody comes in and has never had 5 sinus problems or has not had any sinus problems in the past six months and comes in with sinusitis, that's termed acute sinusitis. It's a sinusitis that 9 just happens.

We treat it with antibiotics usually and the antibiotics cure it, or sometimes it's cured on its own without antibiotics. But as long as the sinusitis goes away relatively quickly and doesn't linger on and doesn't recur, that's called acute sinusitis.

15 When sinus infections continually recur over time or last for a long time, over months, then we 16 17 term that chronic sinusitis.

- Q Doctor, when was the next time you saw Lynn 19 French?
- 20 A The next time I saw Mrs. French was September
- 21 5, 1989, at which time she complained of a sinus
- 22 infection. I noted that she had been on penicillin
- 23 and that she continued to have thick, yellow green
- 24
 - Q Were they the complaints she had at that

Page 951

- decide what to do to treat her in order to relieve the 2 problems that she was having over some years?
 - A Yes. The standard of care at that time when patients presented with sinusitis, chronic sinusitis, was to treat the patient with a high dose of antibiotics for two or three weeks. So I gave her penicillin, 500 milligrams, four times a day for two weeks.

I also gave her a nasal decongestant to try to shrink some of the swollen nasal passages to help both liquid flow out of her sinuses and to allow air to get up into her sinuses.

13 Lastly, I injected a very small amount of a 14 medication called Kenalog, which is a steroid, into 15 the tip, the front tip of her anterior inferior 16 turbinate. The Kenalog, that steroid, helps decrease 17 swelling of swollen tissues.

Lastly, I made a note saying that if her symptoms recur, then a CT scan of her paranasal sinuses should be obtained and that she was a possible candidate for endoscopic sinus surgery, again, if her symptoms continued.

23 Q Doctor, you mentioned that your diagnosis was 24 chronic sinusitis. Now, the jury has heard various expressions before, that is, acute sinusitis and

Page 953

- 1 time?
 - A Yes.
 - What did you do for her on that occasion?
- 4 Well, I examined her again and found that her
- nose was congested, her sinuses, again, when I touched
- them, were now plus 2 tender. This is on a scale of 7 zero to 4. If there is no tenderness, it's zero. If
- there is a little bit of tenderness it's 1, maximum
- pain and tenderness is 4. So this was a 2. So she
- 10 had moderate pain over her sinuses.

Her ears were normal.

Again, I assessed her to have chronic sinusitis and I recommended that she have a CT scan of her sinuses and I also gave her a cough syrup, so she must have been complaining of a cough, which is 16 frequent with sinusitis.

- Q What is a CT scan?
- 18 A A CT scan, C stands for computed and T stands 19 for tomography. It's a specialized x-ray that
- 20 actually allows us to see slices of anatomy.
- 21 Q You were saying, Doctor, about the CT scan?
- 22 A Yes. It allows us to see slices of anatomy,
- 23 almost as if you guillotined a cadaver and you
- 24 actually can see the slice of the anatomy internally.
- 25 Q When is the next time that you saw her?

Page 957

Page 954

1

- Let me come along a bit here. How soonthereafter was a CT scan performed?
 - A It was scheduled for the following day actually, September 6.
- So you did schedule a CT scan to furtherinvestigate Lynn French's problems, correct?
 - A Yes.

3

4

7

- 8 Q Was a CT scan taken?
- 9 A Yes, it was.
- 10 Q What was that date?
- 11 A Let me look for the actual report. The CT
- 12 scan was taken on September 6, 1989.
- 13 Q As I understand it, those records are not
- 14 available of that CT scan, but we do have another CT
- 15 scan that was taken later; is that correct?
- 16 A That is correct. We do have the written
- 17 report from the x-ray doctor, the radiologist.
- 18 Q Although we don't have the actual picture of
- 19 the CT scan because it either got lost or whatever,
- 20 there is a report that was made contemporaneously when
- 21 a radiologist read it; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What did that CT scan show?
- 24 A To read from the report, it says that "There
- 25 are large air fluid levels seen in the maxillary

- A I believe I discussed the findings with Mrs.
- 2 French and it was decided -- I believe that she had
- 3 felt that she had had enough with the sinus infections
- 4 and wanted to alter the course and frequency of having
- so many infections and I recommended, based on her
- history, her physical examination and her x-ray
- 7 findings, that functional endoscopic sinus surgery
- 8 would likely help her.
- 9 Q At that time, your office practice had to do 10 with treating patients for sinusitis and surgery of
- 11 same?
- 12 A Yes. As a practicing otolaryngologist, the
- 13 majority of my patients seen were either for sinus,
- 14 throat or nose problems.
- 15 Q I don't know if we have asked you this
- 16 question before, but could you give the jury a general
- 17 estimate of the number of patients over the years that
- 18 you have seen, diagnosed and treated for upper
- 19 respiratory sinus problems and problems in that area?
- 20 A I have been in practice for 17 years. At the
- 21 point that I had seen Mrs. French, I had been in
- 22 practice for four years. I work Monday through Friday
- 23 and see approximately 20 to 25 patients a day and
- 24 approximately five to ten of those patients each day
 - 5 were sinusitis patients.

Page 955

- antra," antra being the cavity, the cheek sinus that
 we looked at on the diagram. "Small air fluid levels
- 3 are seen in the ethmoid sinuses."
- 4 For the benefit of the jury, air fluid level
- 5 just means that a sinus that normally just has air in
- 6 it now has something else in it, fluid or discharge.
- 7 "No air fluid levels are identified in the frontal
- 8 sinuses or the sphenoid sinuses," the frontal sinuses
- 9 being the ones above our eyebrows, the sphenoid
- 10 sinuses being the ones all the way at the back of the
- 11 nose, the back of the throat.
 - Q I put this back up here so the jury could
- 13 refer to it as you are speaking.
- 14 A "No definite retention cysts are seen. No
- 15 thinning or ballooning sequestra or bone destruction
- 16 is seen.

12

21

- 17 "The impression: Findings consistent with18 sinusitis."
- 19 Q So the radiologist also found sinusitis based 20 on the CT scan; is that correct?
 - A Yes, based on the x-ray findings.
- 22 Q Did you receive this report in the usual
- 23 course of your office practice?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q As a result of that, what did you do?

- So that could be anywhere from 20 to 40 a
- week for many years.
- 3 Q Therefore, based on that, you considered
- 4 yourself a person very closely associated with the
- 5 diagnosis and treatment of sinusitis and it's causes;
- 6 is that correct?

- A Yes. Additionally, coming out of my
- 8 residency program, the chairman of our department was
- 9 a Dr. Dale Rice, who was one of the -- he was at the
- 10 forefront of the development of endoscopic sinus
- 11 surgery. He has written textbooks on it. So in the
- 12 course of our residency program, we spent a lot of
- 13 time both diagnosing and treating sinusitis patients
- 14 with Dr. Rice.
- 15 Q If you know, approximately how many ENT, how
- 16 many ear, nose and throat doctors are there in the
- 17 United States?
- 18 A I believe there are approximately 7- to 9,000
- 19 otolaryngologists in the United States.
- 20 Q The United States itself, in terms of their
- 21 doctors, is it at the forefront throughout the world;
- 22 they have doctors who are specialists and write and
- 23 are considered in the forefront of treating these type
- 24 of diseases?
- 25 A Yes.

Page 960

- Q Doctor, what did you do, what was the final result of your conversation and meeting with Lynn 2 3 French in terms of surgery? 4
 - A Well, surgery was scheduled for September 20, 1989 and performed on that day.
- 6 Q Tell the jury what that surgery consisted of 7 and where it was done and just bring us on down as to 8 what's involved with where and how the surgery was 9 performed.

A The surgery was done at Tarzana Medical 11 Center. I believe Mrs. French arrived at the hospital the morning of the surgery. She was taken to the operating room. She underwent general anesthesia.

Once she was asleep, we further anesthetized the nose with lidocaine and epinephrin. The epinephrin cuts down on bleeding.

17 Once that's all done and her face is washed 18 and toweled off, approximately ten to 15 minutes have 19 passed and at that point we begin the surgery by first 20 looking, again with a nasal speculum and a headlight, 21 into her nose.

- 22 Q Doctor, you mentioned she underwent general 23 surgery and you put her to sleep; is that right?
- 24

5

10

13

14

15

16

25

2

8

11

17

19

Q So she is not awake during this time

- we can run out of gas, certainly we can end up in an 1 accident and die. I don't expect any of those things 2 to happen, yet the risk is there and it's real. 3
- Q After you advised her that there was a risk 4 5 to general anesthesia, her decision was still to go 6 ahead with the surgery, correct?
 - A Yes.

7

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q Now you can continue.
- 8 9 A Once the inside of the nose is looked at by eyeballing it, we then use endoscopes, which are small 10 11 rigid telescopes. They have a light source so that 12 when I place the endoscope into the patient's nose cavity, it lights up the inside and we can actually 13 see very well the anatomy. 14

15 Each side of the nose is then treated depending upon what the pathology in that nose is, and 16 our goal of the surgery is to open up that small 17 18 passageway that we looked at on the diagram before so 19 that it goes from the size of a ballpoint pen head to 20 perhaps the size of our thumbnail, a half-inch by a half-inch, maybe even sometimes bigger. 21

In doing that, we expose the cavities of the sinuses and take out any other disease that might be there, any fluid, mucus, polyps. Sometimes we are surprised, there could be other things there as well,

Page 959

Page 961

obviously? 1

A Correct. An anesthesiologist has started an 3 IV in her hand, given her medication through the IV 4 that let her go to sleep and when she is asleep, an 5 endotracheal tube, a breathing tube is placed through her vocal -- through her mouth, down through her vocal cords to allow her to breathe on a ventilator. Q Are there known risks to general surgery --9 excuse me, to general anesthesia and did you advise

10 Lynn French of it? A Yes. Certainly there are risks to general anesthesia. As part of the preoperative informed 12 consent, all patients are told about the risks of

anesthesia and of the operation. 14 15 0 What is the ultimate risk that she is advised 16 of?

A Well, what I tell patients is that there is a 18 risk to receiving the anesthesia. People can have reactions to the drugs. Certainly there is a very, very rare chance of reaction to the drug.

20 21 The ultimate risk is the patient not waking 22 up. The way I -- the way that I present this to the patient is to say that there is risks in everything we 24 do. We certainly get in our cars, drive on the freeway. There is a risk that we can get a flat tire,

tumors, fungus.

Once that's accomplished, the surgery is continued back into the ethmoid sinuses, which are the sinuses that are between the eyes and the nose, and those little cells are opened up as much as possible to allow them, one, to drain into the nose, and again to allow air to be in contact with the lining.

Once we get to a point where it appears that the lining in those cells are normal and there is no further disease, basically the operation is over at that point, unless there is something specifically that we see on the CAT scan that tells us to go to another area.

Q How did Lynn French -- did she survive, so to speak, the surgery and what was the outcome of the surgery?

17 A Well, when the surgery was through, we 18 usually placed a little packing in the area that's been operated on. It's about a 1 by 2 inch little 19 20 sponge with a string attached. That goes into the 21 area where the sinus has been opened.

22 She was then reversed from the general 23 anesthesia. She tolerated the procedure very well and 24 I believe she had a pretty stable and normal 25 post-operative course.

Page 5	962
--------	-----

8

9

12

13

20-

24

5

12

17

- Q Could you tell the jury how she proceeded from there, her chronic sinusitis?
- A Well, I saw her post-operatively in the first two weeks of October and things were going relatively 5 well.
- 6 Do you want me to go through each occurrence 7 of seeing her in the office or to give you a general 8 idea?
- 9 Q You saw her a lot of times, didn't you,
- 10 Doctor?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Maybe you can move along in a summary fashion
- 13 explaining, without going through -- tell the jury
- 14 physically your notes and reflecting the times you saw
- 15 her, just sort of flick through it.
- 16 A It is probably about ten pages or more of
- 17 notes of her being in the office, and also there were
- 18 frequent telephone calls as well. After a while, Mrs.
- 19 French became very good at almost diagnosing her
- symptoms herself, and certainly from seeing her
- 21 multiple times, if she called and said she had green
- 22 discharge and headaches and felt that she had
- 23 sinusitis, I would occasionally prescribe medications
- 24 over the telephone.
- 25 I think in general, the incidence of her

- chronic sinusitis is pern
- 2 A Well, to this poi
- can't predict the future, 3
- 4 Q Based on reason
- 5 you have an opinion a
- 6 will continue from tin
- 7 chronic sinusitis symp
 - A It looks that w.
 - Would you say it's more likely than not?
- MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. It's not 10 the standard. 11
 - THE COURT: It's not the standard?
 - MR. REILLY: He already asked him the
- 14 question, which is the standard, reasonable
- 15 medical probability, which is fine, he answered
- 16 the question. I have no objection to that.
- THE COURT: I think it's the same standard 17
- 18 that we are talking about. You can include
- reasonable and medical probability. 19
 - Within reasonable medical probability,
- Doctor, would you have an opinion as to whether, more 21
- likely than not, Lynn French will continue to have
- 23 sinus problems hereinafter during her life?
 - A Yes.
- And that opinion is she will more than likely 25

Page 963

- infections decreased after the surgery than from
- before the surgery. There were periods where we
- 3 didn't see her for a year or six months.
- 4 Q Would you tell the jury actually approximately or pretty close to the number of times 5
- that -- aside from the phone calls that you received,
- the number of times that you actually saw Lynn French,
- that is, that she was in your office seeking help for
- 9 her chronic sinusitis?
- 10 A Up until the present time, approximately 15
- 11 times.
- 12 Q For the benefit of the jury, would you
- 13 summarize, in a general way, those visits, what you
- saw her for rather than all the notes that you made
- 15 throughout those 15 times?
- A Again, in general, she usually complained 16
- with pressure and headaches and discharge from her
- 18 nose and just generally felt awful and was treated
- 19 with antibiotics.
- 20 Q Doctor, is that usual and customary for
- persons who have chronic sinusitis as compared to 21
- acute sinusitis?

23

- A By definition, I would classify Mrs. French
- 24 as a chronic sinusitis patient.
- Q Does that mean that her condition of having

- have problems for the rest of her life?
- 3 Doctor, during the course of your treatment,
- 4 was a CAT scan taken?
 - A Yes.
- 6 Q When was that CAT scan taken?
- 7 A Approximately in August of 1995.
- 8 Q Have --
- 9 A May I add, it was August 31, 1995.
- 10 THE COURT: What is it you are looking for?
- MR. WEINSTEIN: The actual film. 11
 - THE COURT: Do you have that?
- 13 THE WITNESS: I believe I placed it back in
- 14 the radiograph file. It was a manila file.
- Q Doctor, I will show you this exhibit, which I 15
- 16 guess I have to identify it, Defendant's Exhibit --
 - THE COURT: Has it been marked?
 - THE CLERK: Yes.
- 18
- 19 THE COURT: Has it been marked in evidence or 20
- 21 THE CLERK: It is Defendant's Exhibit 2021
- 22 Composite for Philip Morris and Lorillard
- 23 Tobacco.
- 24 THE COURT: Is it a defendant's exhibit?
- 25 THE CLERK: Yes, it is.

Page 965

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

23

24

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REILLY: Yes, it is, your Honor. Q Doctor, I am going to place these CAT scans on this box and I will show you a blowup of these shortly. Maybe if you can explain how they are done and what it looks like.

A Again, what they have done here is --THE COURT: Can the jury see this? There is a blowup you will be able to see later, but does the shadow box move out from the wall at all?

THE WITNESS: No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

23

3

5

6

7

9

11

12 A The first picture shows dotted lines through 13 the side of a skull and basically what they have done here is taken slices, multiple slices going from the front to the back through the head. The way I explain 15 this is it's like taking a loaf of bread and slicing 16 17 it from the front to the back and then taking that 18 front piece and flipping it around so we can see it and then we take the second piece, flip it around so 20 we can see it.

So the very front piece here, you can see 22 Mrs. French's front teeth and the little nasal bones and just the beginning of her forehead.

24 On CT scans, air shows up as black and bone 25 shows up as white, as well as other solid structures,

almost no gray. There is one small area of gray here 1 2 on the left.

3 Again, we look at the turbinates, which are those structures that we looked at that come off the 4 side wall of the nose. There are thin pieces of bone. 5 6 You can see a little bit of white surrounded by 7 swollen gray tissue.

The middle turbinate here significantly is not just a thin piece of bone surrounded by lining, but it has a little shell that's now ballooned out and there is air within the middle turbinate and that structure, when the middle turbinate has air in it, is called a concha bullosa, like a conch shell, and bullosa is like a ball. So that was noted on her CAT 14

As we again go further from front to back, we now see the area where her cheek sinus was opened to allow more air to get in and allow fluid to come out.

On this side you could see there is a little bony area. On this side it's empty or not there.

21 On different cuts, on different areas of the 22 CT scan it's also open on her right side.

Again, looking at her cheek sinuses, there is very little fluid in the sinuses. When we described an air fluid level earlier in the sinus, you would see

Page 967

such as teeth. Soft tissues, like cheeks or fluid, 2 show up gray.

So as we go from front to back, you see 4 various areas of black air in the sinuses, black on the x-rays, not really black air. You see gray areas where, say, the eyeballs are, the inferior turbinates are down here, the nasal septum, which is the center divider between our nose is the structure that runs right down the center in these pictures and then the 10 brain is above here.

Q What do they reveal, if anything, that's 12 significant for the jurors to appreciate?

A Well, again, when I look -- this CAT scan 13 14 from 1995 was after Mrs. French's surgery, so what I look -- the reason I got this was to see whether or not there was something blocking her outflow tract from her sinuses or whether there was disease in those 17

18 sinuses that was causing, again, recurrent infections. 19 So the first area I look at is in the frontal sinuses, the sinuses above the forehead, and there is 21 lots of black areas, lots of air with very little or

22 no gray. So I know that her frontal sinuses are fine. 23 As we go again from front to back, we see the 24 ethmoid sinuses that are between the eyes and the

nose, and again there is lots of black areas with

Page 969

maybe up to this level gray and above it air or black.

2 Q Doctor, we have blown up some CT scans that 3 are there.

4

5 Q Would you tell me which ones would illustrate, if any, what you are pointing out so we 6 7 have larger ones?

8 A The one in your right hand is probably 9 sufficient.

10 Q Maybe it's larger, so if you can come down here. There are actually three multiple -- there are many sections that are revealed on the actual film, is 12 13 that right, doctor? 14

A Yes. This captures one section and on this section we don't see -- this is either in front of or behind the area where this bone was removed. So she has a hole from this sinus into her nose either in front of this or behind this, but right here you can see there is bone completing the nasal wall of that sinus

Again, the sinus pushes things up into this natural opening where the small hole was, up into here, and it drains into the nose.

What we see here is some gray thickening mucus or lining in the floor of her left maxillary or

Page 970

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

25

cheek sinus and this could represent chronically inflamed tissue or mucus. You can't tell really the 3 difference, although if it was truly secretions and mucus, we would probably have a straight air fluid 5 level there.

The middle turbinate in this picture is right here, and again at this level of the cut, we don't see the air cell within it that creates what we term the concha bullosa.

There is a small amount of thickening in the 11 bottom of her right cheek sinus as well.

12 Significantly, the sinuses, the ethmoid 13 sinuses are very close to the eyeballs and the brain, 14 and there is very thin bone that separates those two.

15 So again, as part of the informed consent 16 prior to surgery, it's important for the surgeon or 17 myself to inform the patient that there is risk to 18 their vision as well as they could have leakage of 19 fluid from the brain as a complication of the surgery.

20 Q After you reviewed the CT scans, what course of treatment did you continue, if any, when Lynn

22 French came to seek your help?

23 A Well, I noted on the chart that there was 24 thickening of the lining of the left inferior

maxillary sinus. We decided at that point to continue

her to come back for the steroid shot and she came in 1 2 and had that.

After that, I didn't see her again -- so I 3 saw her November 3, 1995, I gave her a steroid shot 4 and treated her with some antibiotics and then did not 5 6 see her again until July of 1997. So that was 7 approximately a year and a half. 8

Q What history did you take then when you saw her about any problems that she was having?

A On July 11, 1997, she complained again of 10 11 pressure, pain over her left cheek, her left eye and 12 her ears again were plugged.

On physical examination, she had slight crusting or dried mucus in the left nostril. I diagnosed her with sinusitis and again treated her with an antibiotic and decongestant.

O Did she give you, to the best of your recollection, a history of having off and on problems throughout?

A Yes.

21 Q And then the next time you saw her, Doctor?

22 A Well, again, then there was a grouping of

telephone calls between August '97 and September '97 23

24 where three courses of antibiotics were given.

Another antibiotic was given in March of '98, again in

Page 971

treating her with antibiotics as well as nasal steroid

sprays, which help to decrease the swelling of the 2

3 tissues.

4

6

7

11

13

6

7

8

9

10

Q When was the next time that you saw Lynn 5 French after that?

A October 7 of -- I'm sorry, one second. Strike that. I saw her September 6, 1995, and at that

time she stated that she was improved, there was

decreased discharge in her nose, but she still 10

complained that her ears were clogged.

At that time her left cheek sinus opening and 12 her right cheek sinus opening, which are called the ostiomeatal unit areas were both found to be open on 14 examination and there was no discharge noted from 15 those areas.

16 Q And the next time? I realize there may have 17 been -- there were probably telephone calls and such, 18 Doctor?

19 A Yes. There were telephone calls in

20 September, a week later in September for an antibiotic, in October for an antibiotic, a week later

22 in November, at which time she was told to come back

23 to the office for a steroid shot; two weeks later

24 another antibiotic was given and -- so that was

mid-November -- I'm sorry. In November of '95 I told

Page 973

April of '98 and November of '98, then again January 1

2 of '99, all of these being over the telephone.

3 In April of '99, again she had an antibiotic 4 prescribed and then it was not until September of '99 5 that she had her next antibiotics prescribed.

I saw her again October 7, 1999, again presenting with left-sided sinus pressure and headaches. At that time her sinuses on the left side were plus 2 tender, her nose appeared clear. I

10 assessed her to have chronic sinusitis and treated her 11 with another antibiotic.

Q Doctor, chronic sinusitis is a serious illness, isn't it, Doctor?

A Well, it certainly is for the people that suffer from it.

16 O Doctor, would you continue now on the course 17 of her treatment?

18 A Yes. Once again, there were telephone antibiotics prescribed October of '99, November of 19

20 '99, December of '99, January of 2000, May of 2000,

21 August of 2000, September of 2000 and October of 2000. 22

Then in 2001, in March and April she was 23 given antibiotics and again given antibiotics in 24 October of 2001.

The last time she received antibiotics in

Page 974

- 2001 was in December and that's been up until March of
- 2002. That was the last time that she received
- antibiotics.
- 4 Q Did you see her at that time or was it on the 5 phone?
- 6 A All of these occurrences were on the phone.
- The last time I saw her in the office for an infection
- was it appears October of '99.
- 9 Q Did Lynn French see anybody else, at least as
- 10 far as your records are concerned, anybody else in the
- 11 office?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Doctor, during this period, obviously up
- until March of this year, she was obviously still
- receiving medication for her problems; is that
- correct? 16
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Doctor, throughout these years, was Lynn
- 19 French cooperative with you?
- 20 A Yes._
- Q Did she appear to have a genuine desire to
- 22 get better?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Did she ever deviate and not follow your

- your records about the cause, so I am just wondering,
- did you ever make a determination up until the time
- that you were asked about connecting tobacco smoke, 3
- second-hand smoke?
- 5 A Certainly early, from the earliest times of
- her visits, we always discussed her occupation as a
- stewardess and the fact that she was exposed to
- airline cabins with pressure, pressurization problems,
- as well as exposure to lots of people in a closed 9
- 10 quarters situation, as well as exposure to cigarette
- 11

14

21

9

12

- 12 Q Doctor, originally you talked about the
- 13 effect of tobacco smoke on the cilia?
 - A Correct.
- Q I really want to ask you about whether or not 15
- you have an opinion, based upon reasonable medical
- 17 probability, as to whether or not you have an opinion
- 18 as to whether or not the cilia in Ms. French's body
- 19 was affected and permanently affected which gave rise
- 20 to the chronic sinusitis?
 - A Well, I do believe that her cilia of her
- upper respiratory tract were affected by the 22
- environmental tobacco smoke that she was exposed to in 23
- airline cabins. Whether or not it is a permanent
- effect, most likely it is not, but I think that she

Page 975

- 1 A Not that I know of.
- 2 Q Tell the jury the type of person that she was
- in terms of when you saw her in expressing her desire
- 4 to get better.
- 5 A Well, like all patients, she wanted to not
- 6 suffer from recurrent infections, she wanted to feel
- better. It was affecting her life both at home and at
- work, and certainly she didn't want to be on
- antibiotics all the time.
- 10 Q Otherwise, other than that, did she try to be 11 cordial and pleasant to you?
- 12 A Yes. She has always been a very pleasant patient. The staff has always liked her very much.
- Q Doctor, in terms of your goal, your
- objective, was your primary objective to treat her? 15
 - A Well --
- 17 Q Cure her, obviously, possibly?
- 18 A Yes.

16

- 19 The reason why I asked that question, because
- really, were you that interested, so to speak, in that
- time of specifically determining what would be the
- 22 more likely cause of the chronic sinusitis sustained
- 23 by Ms. French?
- 24 A I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.
- 25 Q Well, I didn't see -- there is nothing in

Page 977

- would need a biopsy of those cilia to find out what's 2 going on currently.
- 3 Q Doctor, I would like you to ask you various
- 4 questions and I would like you -- I am going to ask
- you a hypothetical question concerning facts that the 5
- jury will hear from her and have heard some of it from 6
- 7 other flight attendants. I am going to ask you a
- 8 question at the end.
 - Doctor, I want you to assume the following
- 10 facts, that is facts that the jury will hear either by
- 11 testimony or by either the plaintiff or by other
 - witnesses.
- 13 I want you to assume that Lynn French is a
- 14 nonsmoker; that she has never smoked a cigarette in
- 15 her entire life: that she has always chosen, when she
- has been given a choice, such as in a restaurant, to 16
- 17 sit in a nonsmoking section.
- 18 I want you to assume that in 1976, some 26 or
- 19 27 years ago, she went to work as a flight attendant
- 20 for TWA Airlines. I want you to also assume that this
- 21 has been her only career in her life.
- 22 I want you to assume that she was a healthy,
- 23 athletic individual prior to being exposed to
- 24 cigarette smoke in a contained environment of an
- 25 airline cabin.

Page 978

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 I want you to assume that a couple of years 2 after being continually exposed to the environment of 3 cigarette smoke in the contained environment of the 4 airplane, Lynn French began to suffer from respiratory 5 problems, especially in her sinuses; that throughout 6 her career Lynn French worked mostly in the coach section of the airplane, which had a number of seats, 8 well over 100, at times designated for smokers, such 9 as the 727, 747 and the L-1011.

10 I want you to assume that the passengers were 11 spewing out smoke in her face when smoking was allowed 12 on airplanes; that flight attendants, including Lynn 13 French, suffered headaches, stuffed noses, aching 14 lungs, burning eyes and coughing after every flight 15 where there was smoking, indicating the degree of 16 smoke that they were subjected to, and that the 17 smoking was permitted on all domestic airlines until 18 1988, when it was banned on only flights of two hours 19 or less, and in 1990, when it was banned on all 20 domestic flights, and that until 1996 you could still 21 smoke on TWA's international flights.

22 I want you to assume that regardless of the 23 ventilation systems on the aircraft, the smoke would 24 never completely clear up or out of the cabin 25 throughout the entire flight, even if the captain

1 already heard from David Burns, who served as the editor of the 1986 Surgeon General's report --2

3 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: That part?

5 MR. REILLY: Yes.

THE COURT: Overruled.

6 7 Q That David Burns, who has served as editor of 8 the 1986 U.S. Surgeon General's report, testified in a 9 case that, this trial, by video deposition, that 10 exposure to second-hand tobacco smoke in airline 11 cabins causes respiratory and pulmonary diseases, disorders, including sinus diseases. 12

I want you to further assume that Lynn French flew an average of 70 to 90 hours or approximate 80 hours overall per month for approximately 26 years, with the exception of a few months to be at home with her children on two occasions and a few weeks off for a hysterectomy and days off for illness.

19 Also I wanted you to assume that Lynn French 20 has been exposed to cigarette smoke on airlines for 21 thousands and thousands of hours, somewhere between an estimated 10- to 15,000 total hours; that in 1976, for 22 23 a time she flew two international flights per week 24 from New York to Frankfurt, Madrid, Rome, Athens, Paris, Cairo, London, and that these flights were 25

Page 979

turned off the no smoking sign -- turned on the no smoking sign, which occurred at times because of the degree and duration of smoke that was in the compartment.

3

4

16

17

5 I want you to assume that the smoke permeated the air throughout the entire cabin of the airplane 7 and was more concentrated in the coach part of the cabin where Lynn French usually worked; that usually 9 you could actually see the smoke and the smoke would 10 become infused in the seats, blankets, pillows and 11 would leave stains on -- in certain parts of the 12 aircraft and the interior walls; that the smell would 13 penetrate the clothes and hair of the flight 14 attendants and passengers and would even permeate the 15 interior and contents of closed suitcases.

I want you to further assume that the passengers would complain about the intensity of smoke and irritation, respiratory problems it caused.

18 19 I want you to further assume that there has 20 been testimony or will be testimony from Julius 21 Richmond, the former Surgeon General, concerning the fact that breathing second-hand smoke in airline 23 cabins causes respiratory diseases in nonsmoking 24 flight attendants. 25

I want you to also assume that the jury has

Page 981

usually on 747's or L-1011's and were from eight to

2 ten hours of duration on the average, and to assume

3 that dozens and dozens of people would be smoking 4 simultaneously on any given flight.

5 I wanted you to further assume that from 1976 6 to 1984, Lynn French's domestic flights were usually 7 transcontinental, that is, from New York to LA, New

8 York to San Francisco, nonstop; that in 1984, Lynn

9 French had about 16 trips from LA to London, each

10 flight approximately 10 to 12 hours; that from 1986 to 11 1988, Lynn French flew a mix of domestic and

12 international flights, the international flights

13 usually to London and Paris from Los Angeles, a minimum of ten hours on the plane each way.

14 15 I want you to assume that the smoking was 16 permitted on international flights for the entire

17 flight from ten to 15 minutes before takeoff, ten to 18 15 minutes before landing, and that while working as a 19 flight attendant, walking briskly throughout the cabin

20 serving passengers, Lynn French's respiration rate was

21 higher than that of the sedentary passengers, and that

22 as a result, she was inhaling more smoke, that is more 23 frequently.

24 I want you to assume that there has been no 25 seasonal component to Lynn French's symptoms and that

Page 982

1

4

6

7

8

11

12

16

1

3

5

17

20

she has had no prior history of any allergies before becoming an airline employee.

Doctor, with these assumptions I have asked
you to assume, in your opinion, based on reasonable
medical probability, based on your background in being
a specialist in the area that you have designated and
testified to, was the exposure to cigarette smoke,
second-hand smoke on board the aircraft more likely
than not the substantial cause or substantial
contributing cause or factor of Ms. French's chronic

sinusitis which you have diagnosed?
MR. REILLY: I object to the form, your
Honor.

THE COURT: Could you just approach the bench for just a minute?

16 (At the side bar.)

17 THE COURT: What part do you object to?
18 MR. REILLY: Well, actually, your Honor,

19 there are several parts I object to. The part I

20 objected to when I made my first objection was --

21 THE COURT: That's Burns?

MR. REILLY: Yes. It's not permissible to ask this witness to rely on testimony of another

24 witness.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

THE COURT: He wasn't asking him to rely on

What else about the form of this question?

2 MR. REILLY: Those are my two principal 3 objections to the form of this question, your

Honor.

5 THE COURT: I am going to overrule them.

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Do you remember all of that so you can answer?

9 THE WITNESS: Can I have him repeat the 10 question, please?

Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

13 Q I am asking you to answer the question I 14 asked and I am not going to read it again.

15 A Yes, I do believe that her exposure to --

Q No, the first thing I asked you was do you

17 have an opinion?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What is that opinion?

20 A That her exposure to the environmental

21 tobacco smoke in airline cabins did contribute to her

22 chronic sinusitis.

Was it a substantially contributing cause of

24 her sinusitis?

25 A Likely the most --

Page 983

it, he just said assume. It is all hypothetical, assume this, that and the other thing.

MR. REILLY: Sure. He asked him to rely on the testimony of Dr. Burns.

THE COURT: No, he asked him to assume it. Assume it. Assume that there is testimony that says such and such. That's what I understand.

MR. REILLY: What's the purpose of asking him to assume it unless you are asking him to rely on it? I mean, why is it in the hypothetical? That's number one.

Number two is he once again violated the admonition of your Honor, the ruling of your Honor not to make reference to claims or injuries by other flight attendants.

THE COURT: Only barely did he do that. He did at some point, but that was not a big part of it.

MR. REILLY: You can only be a little pregnant I suppose.

THE COURT: That's not an objection to the form of this hypothetical question, that's another type of objection, and I agree, at one point he said something about it, but it was pretty minor.

Page 985

MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. REILLY: Objection. Repetitious. It is

4 asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

6 A Likely the most contributing cause to her

7 chronic sinusitis.

8 Q More than likely?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Now, Doctor, you may recall, I talked about

11 the respiration rate. What is the normal respiration

12 rate of a person; in other words, how many times do

13 they breathe in and out per minute?

14 A A number between 12 and 20 times per minute.

15 Q The average person will breathe in and out

16 between 12 and 20 times every minute?

A Yes, depending upon when somebody is doing

18 yoga it is going to be on the low side and when

19 somebody is --

Q That's my point.

21 A -- exercising, it's going to be higher.

22 Q If somebody is sitting in an airline seat,

23 not doing anything but maybe reading, you know,

24 working your mind more, it would be somewhere between

25 12 and 20?

Page 986

1

9

11

A Probably on the lower side of that.

1

2

- O How about somebody who is working, like Lynn
- 3 French and all the other airline candidates, would
- expending energy such as pulling carts and going to
- 5 the galley, that is, where they have their food and
- coffee and such, and walking through the smoke and
- 7 whatever, does the respiration rate of a person --
- 8 this is so simple and elementary I shouldn't be
- asking, but does the respiration rate, that is, does a
- 10 person breathe in and out more times than a person who
- is just sitting in sort of a sedentary position? 11
- 12 A Again, depending upon the degree of exercise
- 13 and work, your respiration rate goes up.
- 14 Q Does a person breathe deeper in order to
- breathe in more -- well, breathe in more air,
- hopefully good clean air and oxygen, when a person is 16 17 working?
- 18 A It depends on the individual and their state 19 of anxiety or relaxation.
- 20 Q Now, Doctor, in your opinion, based upon
- 21 reasonable medical probability, can her condition of
- sinusitis lead to any other diseases within reasonable 22
- 23 medical probability?

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 24 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: I will tell you what, this would

- was qualified to answer.
- THE COURT: No, I want the witness to answer 2
- the question on a proffer and then I will excuse 3 him and we will hear further objection. 4
- 5 MR. WEINSTEIN: I apologize. I probably
- should have approached the bench and said based 6 7 on what your ruling was.
- THE COURT: That's all right. 8
 - Do you understand the question?
- 10 Do you want it repeated?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 12
- Q Doctor, do you have an opinion, based on 13
- reasonable medical probability, as to whether or not 14
- Ms. French's chronic sinusitis can lead to any other 15
- 16 disease?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And what is that opinion?
- A Well, it's well known that chronic sinusitis 19
- or chronic infected materials dripping down from the 20
- sinuses, such as a post-nasal drip into the lungs, can 21
- trigger chronic bronchitis, asthmatic bronchitis.
- 23 Certainly, again, chronic changes and chronic
- irritation to the lining of the sinuses can lead to 24
- 25 nasal polyps.

5

Page 987

- be a good time to break for lunch and I will hear the objection. We are obviously not going to
- finish with the witness before lunch.
- 4 It's 10 after 12. We will start at 1:45 5
 - because this might take us a little while, so
- 1:45 for the jurors. Please have a nice lunch. 6 7 We will collect your notepads. Don't discuss the
 - case and we will resume at 1:45.
 - (Jury not present.)
 - THE COURT: Everybody have a seat. Let me just deal with this before we go to lunch. What is your objection?
 - MR. REILLY: The objection is, your Honor, there is a ruling by your Honor, I presume -- I
- don't know what Mr. Weinstein is intending to do, 16 but my presumption was he was trying to lay a foundation.
 - Perhaps the witness should be excused, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: I should think perhaps the witness should proffer his answer first.
 - MR. ENGRAM: I think the problem was he failed to lay the foundation. He was asking the
- 23 24 ultimate question, does he have an opinion,
- 25 without laying any foundation that this witness

Page 989

- Q I would like to limit it, I think I have to
- 2 limit it to the sinus area, to the sinus area, can --
- 3 THE COURT: Wait. Were you finished with 4 your answer?
 - MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm sorry.
- 6 A I was going to say that a chronic
- inflammation to any area of the body can lead to a 7
- higher turnover of cells and the possibility of a
- 9 neoplastic cell or cancer cell developing.
- 10 Q Are you saying, Doctor, if we don't get into
- too much detail, that her chronic sinusitis is a 11
- condition, based on its original causation, based upon
- the continual irritation she suffers from chronic
- sinusitis, can lead to, within reasonable medical 14
- 15 probability, cancer of the sinuses?
- A Well, any of us, again, without chronic 16
- sinusitis can develop cancer in the sinuses. I think 17
- in the rare occurrences of cancer of the sinuses, your 18
- 19 chances are probably slightly greater if you have a
- 20 chronic irritation there.
- 21 Q So you do have, there is an increased risk in
- 22 incurring cancer of the sinuses if you are suffering 23 from chronic sinusitis; is that correct?
- 24 A I --
- 25 Q -- than somebody who doesn't have chronic

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sinusitis? 1

2

5

6

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A I don't know if that's been documented scientifically. Again, it makes sense that if you have a chronic irritation somewhere, there is a possibility of a wayward cell forming.

Q Is that based on, is it your opinion -- I 7 mean, we know that a lot of things haven't been 8 documented, but based upon your opinion as a medical doctor, based upon your experience and training as a medical doctor and background generally and in your 11 expertise?

12 A I have not seen that to be the case in my 13 years of practice where patients with chronic sinusitis have developed cancer of the sinuses.

Q But do you have an opinion that that can 15 16 occur as a result of the --

17 MR. REILLY: I object, your Honor. Now he is 18 calling for speculation.

19 THE COURT: I agree. I think it's calling 20 for speculation.

MR. WEINSTEIN: I think I need a ruling from the court.

THE COURT: To this?

24 MR. WEINSTEIN: For the record, not in front 25 of the jury, I was going to have him talk

MR. REILLY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We probably won't get back to you until we resume with the jury until whatever time I said, which is 1:45. Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: What's the position of the defendants, what's the position of the plaintiff on this area?

MR. REILLY: Your Honor, obviously, or at least apparently Mr. Weinstein was attempting to get this witness to say that there was a scientific basis for asserting that patients who have sinusitis or people who have sinusitis are at increased risk for the development of cancer of the sinuses.

However, Mr. Weinstein asked him: "O. So you do have, there is an increased risk in incurring cancer of the sinus if you are suffering from chronic sinusitis; is that correct?"

He started to answer, he was interrupted by Mr. Weinstein. "-- than somebody who doesn't have chronic sinusitis?"

24 His answer was:

"A. I don't know if that's been documented

Page 991

about --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's see. I ruled on that last objection, sustaining the objection. So what's your next question?

MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't have any objection. I only want to make just a proffer.

THE COURT: What's your next question of the witness? No other questions, that's it?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Not now for outside the jury. In other words, I won't get into that subject anymore, but I think what I should do, Judge, you stopped me on the question, on another question.

I assume that the court is ordering me not to get into that.

THE COURT: I haven't done anything except rule on this last objection.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Please excuse me, Judge.

THE COURT: Have you finished with your proffer on this?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, I have.

22 THE COURT: Did the defense counsel want to

23 ask anything of the witness at this point? 24 MR. REILLY: It's not necessary, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Should we excuse the witness? Page 993

scientifically. Again, it makes sense that if you have chronic irritation somewhere, there is a possibility of a wayward cell forming."

Mr. Weinstein said:

"O. Is that based on, is it your opinion, I mean, we know that a lot of things haven't been documented, but based upon your opinion as a medical doctor, based upon your experience and training as a medical doctor and background generally and in your expertise?

"A. I have not seen that to be the case in my years of practice where patients with chronic sinusitis have developed cancer of the sinuses."

I didn't have to ask a question.

THE COURT: So based on that, what is it your asking?

MR. REILLY: I will move that no fear of cancer claim be made in this case, be permitted in this case, claim for damages be permitted in this case because there is no scientific basis for it, there is no reasonable basis --

THE COURT: Are you asking that this testimony --

MR. REILLY: I am asking that that line of inquiry not be permitted of this witness.

Page 997

Page 994

1

2

13

15

THE COURT: I would be inclined to agree with the defendant's position. So tell me what is your position?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, I think my obligation is to the court to really concede that based on that testimony, I think we all have to be candid with the court.

8 You may recall, Judge, that I asked the 9 question only the way I did because as I 10 understood your prior instructions, it was that 11 we would have to relate the sinusitis -- well, 12 that we would have to get a definite answer from

13 him from the question I asked. 14 THE COURT: Right. You would have to show 15 that there was a, within reasonable medical

probability, a risk of developing cancer as a 17 result of the chronic sinusitis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

25

18 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, if it was that, then I 19 have no -- he will definitely say that she can 20 develop lung cancer.

21 The problem is, Judge --

22 THE COURT: He didn't say anything about 23 lung cancer.

24 MR. WEINSTEIN: No, I know that. I tried to 25 restrict him to the sinuses because that's what

caused by sinusitis.

MR. WEINSTEIN: That's why I was candid.

MR. REILLY: In his entire practice it has 3 4

never occurred.

5 Now he is going to come in and talk about 6 development of lung cancer to an organ of the

7 body he doesn't even deal with.

8 THE COURT: I don't know if that's his opinion. Can we get him back in to see what his 9

10 opinion is?

MICHAEL A. PERSKY, M.D., WITNESS, RESUMED. 11

12 THE COURT: Doctor, since you are still here,

we are going to ask a couple of further

14 questions.

Go ahead, please.

16 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

Q Doctor, I am going to ask you a question. 17

18 Let me just ask the question and see what it is. I

19 know you will tell us. The jury is not here and you

will tell us straight on, the truth. 20

21 THE COURT: He will when the jury is here

22

25

1

12

19

21

23 MR. WEINSTEIN: I know that.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 24

MR. WEINSTEIN: Very good, Judge. Thank

Page 995

we understood your ruling to be.

THE COURT: No, my ruling is that from the chronic sinusitis, that has to be the cause of

MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. So that's why I restricted it to that, but his opinion is that she can develop cancer, as I understand it, to the --

THE COURT: You didn't even ask him about that. You are saying that if you asked him is there within reasonable medical probability is there a risk of developing lung cancer from chronic sinusitis he would say yes?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Cancer to other areas of the respiratory system.

16 THE COURT: From the chronic sinusitis? 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes.

THE COURT: You didn't ask him that.

MR. WEINSTEIN: I think what I should do is

proffer it and see where it goes when he comes back. It will be one question basically.

22 MS. WEINSTEIN: He is still here.

23 MR. REILLY: I object. This gentleman is an 24 otolaryngologist. He has just indicated he has

never seen an instance of sinus cancer being

you.

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

4 Q Doctor, I cut you off when you were talking

about other parts of the sinuses. Could you tell me whether you have an opinion, within reasonable medical

probability, of whether or not Ms. French can develop

any other diseases to any part, any part of the

respiratory system as a result of the condition that

10 she was subjected to, that is, environmental smoke? 11

MR. REILLY: I object, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. This is not what we

are talking about, any other diseases. 13

14 O Well, can she develop -- in your opinion,

15 does she have an increased risk to any area, to the 16 respiratory system?

17 A Well, again, I think --

18 MR. REILLY: Your Honor, this has been --

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

20 Q What other risks are there, in your opinion,

that can, in your opinion, develop from the exposure

22 to second-hand smoke?

23 THE COURT: No, that's not so. I know there

24 is no objection. You can't ask that under the

25 cases the way I understand them. That's why I

	Page 998		Page 1000
١,	reviewed that and we talked about the chronic	1	THE COURT: Wait. Can we excuse him?
1 2	sinusitis.	2	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
3	Q As a result of the chronic sinusitis	3	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
4	condition and the irritants that she was exposed to,	4	(Witness excused.)
5	is there an increased risk of cancer?	5	THE COURT: What are we bringing up now?
6	MR. REILLY: Your Honor?	6	MR. WEINSTEIN: There was an objection and
7	THE COURT: You can't add in there the	7	you sustained unless you learned later, unless I
8	irritants that she was exposed to.	8	provided to you the foundation for the question.
9	MR. WEINSTEIN: All right.	9	THE COURT: What is it about, through this
10	MR. REILLY: On top of that, that question	10	witness?
11	has been asked and he already answered it	11	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
12	regarding sinus cancer. He already said there	12	THE COURT: Just tell me what it is.
13	are no documented cases, he has never experienced	13	MR. WEINSTEIN: The question was I asked him
14	it in his practice.	14	in terms of a history, whether or not it is good
15	THE COURT: I don't think you are asking	15	medicine or consistent with the standard of care
16	what I thought you were going to be asking.	16	not to ask, for a doctor, I want you to assume
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: What did you think I was	17	Dr. Stammberger
18	going to ask?	18	THE COURT: The question was what did Dr.
19	I know there are certain times	19	Stammberger say about this. The objection of Mr.
20	THE COURT: Go ahead. Is there anything	20	Reilly was it was misinterpreting what
21	else to ask?	21	Stammberger said.
22	Q Doctor, do you have an opinion, based upon	22	MR. WEINSTEIN: And I asked, he objected and
23	reasonable medical probability, as to any other	23	said that there is no such thing or that there is
24	that as a result of her chronic sinusitis, that she	24	no record. Here is my question.
25	can develop any other diseases, disease?	25	THE COURT: The question to who?
i	• •	1	
1			
	Page 999		Page 1001
1	Page 999 MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is	1	Page 1001 MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger.
1 2	·	1 2	
	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is	l .	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger.
2	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now.	2	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are
2 3	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the	2 3	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony?
2 3 4	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection.	2 3 4	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything	2 3 4 5	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: That ends that subject.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection was you mischaracterized it and he may have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: That ends that subject. MR. WEINSTEIN: I will be candid and say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection was you mischaracterized it and he may have been asking something about smoker or nonsmoker, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: That ends that subject. MR. WEINSTEIN: I will be candid and say THE COURT: When we resume after lunch, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection was you mischaracterized it and he may have been asking something about smoker or nonsmoker, not about their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: That ends that subject. MR. WEINSTEIN: I will be candid and say THE COURT: When we resume after lunch, we won't be going into this subject.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection was you mischaracterized it and he may have been asking something about smoker or nonsmoker, not about their MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't remember what my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REILLY: Objection, your Honor. This is exactly what he has tried five times now. THE COURT: Yes, I will sustain the objection. Q What, if anything MR. REILLY: Your Honor, I would ask that this inquiry cease. THE COURT: I am going to let him make another attempt. He will consult with his colleagues here. Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the chronic sinusitis increases the risk of cancer in the body? A No. THE COURT: No, you don't have an opinion? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: That ends that subject. MR. WEINSTEIN: I will be candid and say THE COURT: When we resume after lunch, we won't be going into this subject. How much more do you have of your direct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WEINSTEIN: I asked Dr. Stammberger. THE COURT: That is the issue. You are looking at Stammberger's testimony? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. WEINSTEIN: And he stated that he doesn't in the last ten years, he doesn't include the occupation of his patient. THE COURT: Is that what he said? MR. REILLY: Can I see the deposition? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Whether they are a smoker or a nonsmoker, Judge. MR. REILLY: Marvin, listen. THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Reilly. Mr. Weinstein, you have to read me what he said. You can't characterize it. The objection was you mischaracterized it and he may have been asking something about smoker or nonsmoker, not about their MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't remember what my question was. I want to be able to ask this

question.

but Judge, I have to show you something.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, I am going to.

THE COURT: So we will take up after lunch
and see what you are going to be asking.

Apparently Dr. Stammberger -- read what
Stammberger said.

MR. REILLY: Here is the question that Mr.
Weinstein tried to represent to the court. You

MR. REILLY: Here is the question that Mr. Weinstein tried to represent to the court. You see, Dr. Stammberger, and this is exactly what I thought this was about.

Dr. Stammberger testified at length that

Dr. Stammberger testified at length that there was a period in his career when he asked people whether they smoked or didn't smoke because he wondered whether or not it played a role in the development of sinusitis.

Dr. Stammberger said for a decade, maybe longer, he took that information in, he assessed it and after a decade or longer of determining that it didn't make a dog-gone bit of difference, he stopped recording whether they were smokers or not because it didn't seem to him to have any effect on their sinusitis or not.

So Mr. Weinstein was going to rely on this inquiry:

"Q. So in the last ten or 12 years, as I
understand it, you don't do, basically you don't

that with this witness.

You already know he found out what Ms. French's occupation was and so on.

MR. WEINSTEIN: It's even more important, occupation -- you know, we are dealing with airline --

THE COURT: We are going to have lunch, okay? We are going to have lunch and we are going to start at 1:45.

Do you think we will finish -- I think we might finish with this witness today and maybe start another witness is what I am saying, so be prepared.

Okay, we will be in recess until 1:45. (Luncheon recess at 12:30 p.m.)

Page 1003

1 make any records or even or take" -- this is hard 2 to read -- "in your history whether a person is a 3 smoker, a nonsmoker or what their occupation is; 4 isn't that correct?

"A. As usually I am one of the final instances they come to, no, I don't."

He had been saying at length, I mean, this is the 87th --

THE COURT: Let me say this. I am not going to let you ask that because I think it mischaracterizes Stammberger's testimony if in fact he made this whole other explanation about why he stopped taking the history of smoker or not smoker, because you would have to include all that.

Because what you asked him, as I remember, was is it bad medicine not to ask what occupation your patient has.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Which I did include in here.

THE COURT: Yes, but it's not the same question and apparently there was a history of it.

Don't ask that unless you want to get into all of the things that Dr. Stammberger said about it and, frankly, I don't see the point of doing